

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

October 29, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

E. David Crossin QC, Law Society Bencher
The Landing, 375 Water St #700,
Vancouver, BC V6B 5C6

Dear Mr. Crossin,

Re. Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia

Please **investigate** the documents enclosed and **take necessary actions** for the protection of the Public and the Honour of Legal Profession.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Encl.: The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, Declaration of Indemnity CD (Legal documents).

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

November 7, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

David McCartney, Investigator,
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street,
Vancouver, BC V6B 5T3

Dear Mr. McCartney,

Re. Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia

I have received the letter you sent me on behalf of E. David Crossin. You have refused to take any action regarding the corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and you disregarded the following questions:

1. FACT: Mr. Timothy E. McGee, the Executive Director of the Law Society, **confirmed that “the lawyers have no professional obligation** to provide legal service to the Public”. (*For Mr. McGee’s confirmation letter dated January 8, 2013, see CD 2. Lawyer Referral Service folder.*)

QUESTION: Which profession has an obligation to provide legal service to the Public?

2. FACT: Mr. Michael G. Armstrong, a member of the Law Society, filed a court application to dismiss my legal action originating from a **potentially fatal hit and run crime** and Mr. Justice Smith cooperated with him. (*For court application and unsigned order see CD 3. Law Society McGee case, dated June 27, 2013 and September 17, 2013.*)

QUESTION: Is it POSSIBLE TO PREVENT CRIME where the legal actions of the victims of CRIME are aborted to exonerate the CRIMINALS?

THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA:

1. The JUSTICES presiding in the Supreme Court of British Columbia will continue to **dismiss the legal actions** of the victims of crime, to **protect the criminals. It is impossible to prevent CRIME**, where the victims of crime cannot bring their offenders to JUSTICE.
2. Some LAWYERS will continue to **pervert the facts** and **disregard Substantive Law** applicable to the issues before the Court. Their actions **defeat the cause of JUSTICE**; undermine the **DIGNITY OF THE COURTS** and the **Honour of Legal Profession**.
3. The PUBLIC will continue to suffer from the actions of CRIMINALS, due to the **lack of JUSTICE SERVICE**.

Under the circumstances, it is impossible to bring ICBC TO JUSTICE, therefore:

4. ICBC will continue to **sell insurance service under the threat** of restricting the RIGHT to use one's own vehicle, even though, selling goods or services **under threat** is NOT LAWFUL.
5. ICBC will continue to **insure hit and run criminals** and **criminally negligent drivers**, under cover of "*accident insurance*" and, promote hit and run crime and preventable accidents.
6. ICBC will continue to assume the liability of **49,000 hit and run crimes**, a year. As a result:
7. Hit and run criminals will kill **8** peoples and, injure and maim **2,200** others, every year.
8. The Public will suffer the INDIGNITY of being **forced to buy** insurance service they are NOT **willing** to buy, and pay all the damages caused by **hit and run criminals** and **criminally negligent** drivers.

Considering the **significance** of this issue, I would like to meet with you to discuss the possibilities of joining our efforts to PROTECT THE DIGNITY OF THE COURTS and THE HONOUR OF LEGAL PROFESSION. Please, let me know.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Encl.: The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, Declaration of Indemnity CD (Legal documents).



Please refer to our File No. IN20160283

November 3, 2016

CONFIDENTIAL

Sent via mail

Mr. Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby, BC V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Re: Your Correspondence to the Law Society of B.C., Our File No. IN20160283

We received your correspondence addressed to Mr. Crossin, QC, on November 2, 2016.

You say that you are a victim of a “potentially fatal hit and run crime” and you refer to “corruption of the courts”. The Law Society’s complaint process is not the proper forum for raising your concerns about the courts, the justice system or the conduct of a judge. Our role is to investigate the professional conduct of lawyers.

You also refer to Michael Armstrong’s conduct in asking you to sign a draft order of Mr. Justice Smith’s decision from 2013. You allege that Mr. Armstrong attempted to “fool” you into believing that signing the draft order did not mean you consented to it. An order is a document that sets out the court’s decision and it is signed by the parties unless the judge has dispensed with the need for a party’s signature. A party’s signature on a draft order does not mean that the party is consenting to the terms of the order. Rather, when a party signs a draft order, the party is only agreeing that the draft order accurately reflects the court’s decision. Accordingly, there are no conduct concerns arising from Mr. Armstrong’s request for you to sign the draft order. You also complain that Mr. Armstrong attempted to “exact court cost” from you when the draft order had not been signed by you. It is for the courts and not the Law Society to decide whether or not the costs ordered by the court are enforceable. If you have remaining questions about the draft order or the costs awarded by the court, you may want to seek legal advice from another lawyer. The Law Society cannot provide any legal advice to you.

For these reasons, our file is now closed.


We will not be responding further to any correspondence from you related to these matters unless we determine that a response is required.

Complaint process is confidential

Please respect the confidentiality of our complaint process by not disclosing this complaint to others unless necessary. Also, please note that section 87 of the *Legal Profession Act* provides

that this correspondence and other documents relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceedings without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director.

Yours truly,



David McCartney
Investigator

Reply to: Direct line: 604.605-5361
Fax: 604.605-5399
E-mail: dmccartney@lsbc.org

DM/le

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

August 15, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Timothy E. McGee
Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mr. McGee,

Re. Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS:

1. ICBC **insures** hit and run **criminals** and **criminally negligent** drivers who kill 240 people randomly and injure 70,000, every year in the province of British Columbia.
2. Since ICBC undertakes the LIABILITY of the fatal-CRASHES caused by **hit and run criminals** and **criminally negligent** drivers, ICBC is also **liable for the fatalities** in those crashes. Therefore, ICBC must be brought to JUSTICE for the protection of the PUBLIC.
3. As victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime, it is my DUTY to bring ICBC to JUSTICE. Nevertheless, I was not able to file a criminal action against ICBC, due to the **lack of legal service**.
4. In your letter dated January 8, 2013, you confirmed that “**the lawyers have no professional obligation to provide legal service to the Public**” and refused to investigate the issue.
5. I filed a legal action against you; because, you **failed to ensure legal service is available for the PUBLIC**.
6. Justice **Nathan H. Smith** cooperating with **Michael G. Armstrong**, lawyer, dismissed my case without citing any **authority** and a proper **signature**.
7. Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson** declared me “*vexatious litigant*”, knowing that I am a **victim** of hit and run **crime**. Now, I am not allowed to file any legal actions.
8. As a last resort, I started a **publicity campaign** to display the **corruption** in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, **for the protection of the PUBLIC**.
9. At BCIT, under the supervision of Kathy Kinloch, **Wayne Hand** terminated my employment; because, I informed my co-workers regarding the **corruption in the Courts**.

10. I filed a grievance with BCGEU. Nevertheless, BCGEU representative, **Oliver Demuth**, a member of the Law Society, refused to communicate with Wayne Hand even though he knew that “**It is impossible to resolve a conflict, without communicating with both parties in the conflict, to confirm the facts.**”

11. I am out of work, since February 8, 2017, therefore, I have no income. Also, I am not able to find a lawyer to help me file a legal action against Wayne Hand and Oliver Demuth.

12. For a reasonable person, the conducts of the lawyers involved in this legal chicanery is **not consistent** with the guidelines of **LEGAL ETHICS** published online that states:

2.1 A LAWYER, is a **minister of justice**, an **officer of the Courts** and a member of an ancient and **honourable profession**. It is a lawyer’s DUTY to serve **the cause of JUSTICE**, maintain the authority and **Dignity of the Courts**.

2.1-5c,d A lawyer should make **legal services available to the public** in an efficient and convenient manner; a lawyer should not render any service involving the **corruption of any persons exercising a public or private trust**.

MY REQUEST:

I would like to know how can I **warn** the PUBLIC against the **fradulant** activities in the Administration of Justice without denigrating the **Dignity of the Courts**, the **Honour of Legal Profession**, the **Credibility of the Law Society** and your **Reputation**. Please, let me know.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

For more information regarding the following issues please visit:

www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

- 1. The report of corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia**
- 2. ICBC-CRIME**
- 3. Collusion between BCIT and BCGEU**
- 4. Relevant legal documents**

Cc. The members of the Law Society

Complaint Form

The Law Society
of British Columbia



845 Cambie Street, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6B 4Z9
t 604.669.2533 | toll-free 1.800.903.5300
f 604.605.5399 | TTY 604.443.5700
lawsociety.bc.ca

Before completing the Complaint Form, please make sure you read the attached "Complaint Form Information Sheet". If complaining about more than one lawyer, a separate form is required for each one.

PART A: Information – About you			
Salutation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mr <input type="checkbox"/> Mrs <input type="checkbox"/> Ms <input type="checkbox"/> Miss		
Given Name(s)	Ron O.	Surname	Korkut
Street	5249 Laurel St.		
City	Burnaby	Province/State	B
		Postal/ZIP code	V5G 1N1
Telephone (home)	778 378 9009	(work)	
Email	ron@ethiesfirst.ca	Are you a lawyer?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
PART B: Information – Regarding the lawyer you are complaining about			
Name of Lawyer			
What is your relationship to the lawyer you are complaining about?			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Client <input type="checkbox"/> Employed by lawyer			
<input type="checkbox"/> Opposing Party (whether you have a lawyer or not) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Opposing Lawyer			
If your complaint is about a lawyer acting for someone else, please answer the following			
1. Who did or does the lawyer act for? BCGEU			
2. What is your relationship in the matter? union representative			
3. Name of your lawyer Oliver Demuth			
We may, at our discretion, contact anyone who may have relevant information including any lawyer involved in this matter.			
PART C: Your complaint			
What does your complaint primarily concern?			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breach of undertaking <input type="checkbox"/> Failure to follow instructions			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conflict of interest <input type="checkbox"/> Failure to release file or records or send bill			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delay or inactivity <input type="checkbox"/> Rudeness and or Threatening			
<input type="checkbox"/> Excessive fees <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawal			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Failure to communicate <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)			

Details of your complaint

(Be factual. Give a brief description of what has taken place and on what dates. If you like, use point form. **Also provide copies of all relevant documents**).

Oliver Demuth was in charge of resolving the labour conflict between me and Wayne Hand, BCIT. Nevertheless, he refused to communicate with Wayne Hand who terminated my employment, just because of my communication with my co-workers. My supervisor is Ted Simmons not Wayne Hand. Obviously, if a union representative refuses to communicate with both parties involved in the conflict, it is IMPOSSIBLE to resolve the conflict. For more information see:
BCIT-BCGEU at the following websites:
www.ilaw.site
www.ethicsfirst.ca
www.justsociety.info

More space provided on page 4

Explain what attempts you have made, if any, to resolve the problem.

I appealed his decision that he dismissed my grievance. Nevertheless, appeal board did not hear my argument and ignored my evidence.

What would you like to see happen as a result of your complaint?

Oliver Demuth must do his job as required by the LAW. He must talk to Wayne Hand and find out, under what authority he restricted my communication with my co-workers. If Wayne Hand has no AUTHORITY, then he must reinstate my employment.

PART D: Acknowledgment and signature

I have read and I understand the following:

- The Law Society will share some or all of the information and copies of documents that it receives from me and any other person with the lawyer complained about;
- The Law Society may at its discretion talk to any third parties that have relevant information to my complaint;
- Our records are subject to the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* and as a result, information gathered by the Law Society may be disclosed, on request, to other persons whose interests are affected; and
- Under Section 87 of the Legal Profession Act, this form and any other document relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceedings without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director.

August 16, 2017

Date

Signature of applicant

Note: If you are filing this complaint for another person who was the lawyer's client or who was the party directly affected by the lawyer's conduct we may need a signed authorization from this other person in order to proceed with the complaint. If you hold a power of attorney for the person, you can include a copy of the power of attorney with the Complaint Form.

The information in this form is collected under authority of Rule 3-2 of the Law Society Rules. The information provided will be used to process your complaint. If you have any questions about the collection and use of this information, contact the Intake Officer, Law Society of British Columbia, 8th Floor, 845 Cambie Street, Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9, tel. 604.669.2533.

Ron Korkut <ronkor51@gmail.com>

1:35 PM (31
minutes
ago)

to wking, margaret.merei., Rishi

Aug. 28, 2017

Dear Minister of Justice

All the members of the Law Society are a "minister of justice", an officer of the Courts and a member of an ancient and honourable profession. Their DUTY is to serve the cause of JUSTICE, maintain the authority and Dignity of the Courts, as per Canons of Legal Ethics. Since your DUTY is to protect the HONOUR OF LEGAL PROFESSION, I trust that you will not hesitate to forward the attached letter to Timothy E. McGee and ensure that he responds to it. Thanks, for discharging your DUTY, as a responsible member of the PUBLIC and the LAW SOCIETY.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

margaret.mereigh@gov.bc.ca, jennifer.chow@justice.gc.ca, karmstrong@stewartandco.com, chunter@litigationchambers.com, michelle.booker@gov.bc.ca, zahra@jimalelawcorp.com, preston@overholtlawyers.com, david.clancy@icbc.com, mmaddigan@lsbc.org, gsandhu@lindsayllp.ca, adam@munnings.ca, dullrich@fasken.com, louisa.winn@gov.bc.ca, gill@rishigill.com, jlamb@guildyule.com, mshirreff@smrlaw.ca, candice.alderson@ledcor.com, emollema@centralawyers.ca, kimkarras@bkslaw.com, mvirgin@stevensvirgin.com, csalomon@mcbop.com, bveenstra@jml.ca, mike.brundrett@gov.bc.ca, michael@mottwelsh.ca, snelligan@lawsonlundell.com, kblomfield@ratcliff.com, scoulson@dsavocats.ca, blaroselaw@telus.net, wdh@cwilson.com, jfiorante@cfmlawyers.ca, rbreder@brederlaw.com, psenkpiel@nst.bc.ca, kmcdermott@synergylaw.ca, acrane@intermarklaw.com, wking@capstonemining.com, elizabeth.moxham@ubc.ca, kate.bakepaterson@dlapiper.com, jk@kidstonlaw.com, tom.moran@nortonrosefulbright.com, mwales@glazierpolley.com, dclaassen@jml.ca, cgchurchill@shaw.ca,

kelly@kingbradshaw.com, nina.purewal@gov.bc.ca, tam@mtplaw.com, info@kmlaw.net, jaqua@westminlaw.com, cwardell@mathewsdinsdale.com, msawicka@mccarthy.ca, cgleeson@bakernewby.com, ddundee@kamloopslaw.com, donk@rellapaolini.com, amacphail1@gmail.com, jwhittow@telus.net, rcdibella@jawlbundon.com, erin@brooklawnanaimo.com, msinclair@doakshirreff.com, megan@hamiltonfabbro.com, jamie@jamiewood.ca, afaux@victoriafamilylaw.ca, dj@boydjahani.com, csd@narwallit.com, mc_law@telus.net, dreid@harpergrey.com, sbeach@sms.bc.ca, sgutierrez@fasken.com, contact@poulsenlaw.com, joleary@ahbl.ca, cscott@dwavesys.com, laura.cundari@blakes.com, cjanusz@blackgropper.com, laurel.courtenay@worksafebc.com, mhargraves@srns.bc.ca, phuynh@surrey.ca, jshelford@ratoliff.com, mkaneen@lawsonlundell.com, rbenham-parker@wt.ca, tina.parbhakar@gov.bc.ca, mario.rubio@nortonrosefulbridht.com, mcarroll@lawsonlundell.com, chilwin@ascendionlaw.com, ad.lecovin@uniserve.com, abharmal@clasbc.net, litigation@westcoastleaf.org, mostrow@farris.com,

sasa.pudar@nicmillan.ca, marie-claire.dy@dentons.com, robertculos@culoslaw.com, mrosser@farris.com, rjohal@cwileon.com, ksainty@saintylaw.ca, sdewit@bhmlawyers.ca, erin@perryco.ca, jmfrechette@wurphyandcompany.com, areimer@wt.ca, andrewc@kimmitt.ca, reza@jawlboundon.com, vaughan@duncanfaber.com, mja@cwilson.com, mcampbell@lawsonlundell.com, rolf.warburton@gov.bc.ca, ritche.po@worksafebc.com, jhogg@morellichertkow.com, fkelly@clasbc.net, aam@cwilson.com, info@kristinrongve.ca, mathew.good@blakes.com, rfriesen@cle.bc.ca, saridak@sklawoffices.com, jan@fishmanlawyers.ca, pearson@mtplaw.com, bmccutcheon@cookroberts.bc.ca, sklinger@sklaw.ca, drew@woodwardandcompany.com, gtp@hdas.com, janderson@fmep.ag.gov.bc.ca, tina@tinadionlaw.com, denese@averylawoffice.ca, aoxtoby@entrustlaw.ca, bill@bwilliamsundhu.com, oliver.fleck@gov.bc.ca, heidiz@heartlandlaw.ca, jenlaw@telus.net, jlalonde@mzalaw.ca, gwcurrie@island.net, nesmith@rlr-law.com, bhutcheson@swiftdatoo.com, alison.taylor@taylorganitto.com, acmelabs@citytel.net

The Law Society of British Columbia



Please refer to Our File No. 20170737

September 12, 2017

CONFIDENTIAL

Sent via email

Mr. Ron O. Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby, B.C. V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Re: Oliver Demuth, Our File No. 20170737

I write further to my letter dated August 16, 2017.

I trust that you will have by now had an opportunity to review the brochure which was enclosed with my August 16, 2017, letter and to note that the function of the Law Society's complaints process is to enquire into the professional conduct of B.C. lawyers and to take disciplinary action against lawyers where we find evidence of professional misconduct.

We cannot, under any circumstances, set aside either the dismissal of your grievance with BCIT or the decision on your appeal to the Labour Relations Board. These are matters about which you will have to obtain legal advice from a labour lawyer, if you have not already done so.

With respect to your complaint about Mr. Demuth, I note that Mr. Demuth is legal counsel for the B.C. Government & Service Employees' Union, and as such, he takes his instructions from the union Executive, not the individual union members or the Law Society. You will therefore have to speak with a lawyer as to what further legal remedies you may have available to you through the courts in the matter of the termination of your employment with BCIT.

Based on the foregoing, we are now closing our file pursuant to Rules 3-5 (3) (a) and (c), which state:

3-5 (3) The Executive Director may decline to investigate a complaint if the Executive Director is satisfied that the complaint:

- (a) is outside the jurisdiction of the Society,
- (c) does not allege facts that, if proven, would constitute a discipline violation.

We are, however, providing Mr. Demuth with a copy of your complaint and a copy of this letter for his information.

Complaint process is confidential

Please respect the confidentiality of our complaint process by not disclosing this complaint to others unless necessary. Also, please note that section 87 of the *Legal Profession Act* provides that this correspondence and other documents relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceedings without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director.

Yours truly,



Lynne Knights
Intake Officer, Professional Conduct

Reply to: Direct line: (604) 443-5722
Fax: (604) 605-5399

LK/td
c. Oliver Demuth

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

September 15, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Lynne Knights
Intake Officer, Professional Conduct
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mrs. Knights,

Re.: Oliver Demuth's professional conduct

In your letter dated September 12, 2017, you closed my file of complaint against **Oliver Demuth**, **considering the FACTS** stated in the attached document. You stated that:

“This correspondence and other documents relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceeding without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director”.

From the point of Law, your statement is *tantamount to* declaring that your letter is **NOT** a **reliable** document. Therefore, please, **sign** the attached document to **clarify the FACTS** pertaining to my complaint and return it to me.

If you have any **reasonable DOUBTS** about the stated facts in the attached document, and willing to confirm them, please arrange a meeting so that we can discuss those issues. Nevertheless, if you fail to respond, I **assure** you that your letter dated September 12, 2017, would be publicized, for the **protection of the Public**. Thanks for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Encl. The statement of the facts

Cc. Stephanie Smith, President BCGEU, **Ted Simmons**, Chief Instructor, BCIT

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

LABOUR CONFLICT BETWEEN RON KORKUT and WAYNE HAND

THE STATEMENT OF THE FACTS:

1. **Ron Korkut** is an electrical instructor at BCIT. He worked under the supervision of **Ted Simmons** for ten years with pristine record of employment. He **attempted to inform** his co-workers regarding the perils of the corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.
2. **Wayne Hand**, Dean of School of Construction at BCIT **restricted** Ron Korkut’s RIGHT to free speech and his DUTY to inform his co-workers.
3. Ron Korkut’s supervisor, Ted Simmons was **not involved** with this issue; because, Wayne Hand’s conduct was **not consistent with** the Rules of Administrative Law.
4. Wayne Hand **FORCED** Ron Korkut to *attend a meeting* to negotiate his RIGHT to free speech and his DUTY to inform his co-workers, by **suspending** his work twice.
5. Ron Korkut **informed** Wayne Hand that his RIGHTS and DUTIES were not negotiable; therefore, he **declined** to attend the meeting.
6. Wayne Hand **interpreted** Ron Korkut’s declining to attend to *the unreasonable meeting* as “*a challenge to his power of authority*” and **terminated his employment** on the grounds of “**insubordination**”, on February 8, 2017.
7. Ron Korkut filed a grievance with BCGEU on the grounds of wrongful-dismissal. Union Lawyer, Oliver Demuth was in charge of resolving the labour conflict between Ron Korkut and Wayne Hand.
8. Oliver Demuth dragged the issue on, for months, **refused to communicate** with Wayne Hand by **perverting** the issue into a conflict between Ron Korkut and an *anonymous* “**employer**”. Finally, **dismissed** Ron Korkut’s grievance **assuming** arbitration process would *not succeed*.
9. Ron Korkut filed a complaint with the Law Society against Oliver Demuth on the grounds of professional misconduct. Oliver Demut’s conduct was a *blatant* **professional misconduct**; because, it is **impossible to resolve a labour conflict** where the union lawyers refuse to communicate with **both persons** involved in the conflict to find out and confirm the FACTS.
10. **Lynne Knights, Intake Officer, interpreted Ron Korkut’s complaint as a request for “setting aside the dismissal of his grievance” and declined to INVESTIGATE under what authority, Oliver Demuth refused to communicate with Wayne Hand and dismissed Ron Korkut’s grievance.**

Lynne Knights
 Intake Officer, Professional Conduct
 The Law Society of British Columbia

Date:

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

October 13, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Lynne Knights
Intake Officer, Professional Conduct
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mrs. Knights,

Re.: Your letter dated October 13, 2017

You dismissed my complaint regarding **Oliver Demuth**'s professional conduct without any tangible reason. Nevertheless, you **refused** to *admit* and *confirm* the FACTS I stated. It is **not proper** to dismiss a complaint by denying the FACTS *substantiated* by the complainant. Your **refusal to sign your decision** is *conclusive* to the fact that **you were aware of your WRONG**; because, an honourable person **never hesitates to sign** her decision made in good faith.

The publication of this issue is **vitaly NECESSARY**; because of the extend of the HARM inflicted on the PUBLIC by this organized CRIME. My failure to inform the Public may have criminal consequences, because:

1. ICBC sells insurance under the threat of seizing drivers' licence or vehicle to pay the damage of \$4 billion dollars, caused by **criminally negligent drivers**, and **hit and run criminals**. As you know, making payments on behalf of *criminally negligent drivers* is a blatant **CRASH PROMOTION**. As result of ICBC **crash promotion**, one out of five vehicles crashes every year.
2. *Criminally negligent drivers, hit and run criminals* **kill 240** and **injure** thousands of people, in the Province of British Columbia, every year.

Therefore, I **urge** you to **review** your decision regarding Oliver Demuth's conduct, at least, for the sake of your self-respect and the Honour of Legal profession, even if you are not concerned about the safety the Public.

If I do not hear from you within two weeks, I will be obliged to raise this issue to the attention of Timothy McGee. You should bear in mind that:

The LAW IS NOT A PLAY-DOUGH for the lawyers and the PUBLIC is not a flock of FOOLS.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First



Please refer to our File No. 20170737

October 18, 2017

CONFIDENTIAL

Sent via mail

Mr. Ron O. Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby, BC V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Re: Oliver Demuth, Our File No. 20170737

In my capacity as Manager of Intake & Early Resolution, your letter dated October 13, 2017 to Lynne Knights has been brought to my attention.

You advise that you wish to publicize your complaint against Oliver Demuth. You do not explain where you wish to publicize your complaint, and whether you wish to include the Law Society's responses to you in respect of same.

For clarity, section 87(4) of the *Legal Profession Act* provides that any report or correspondence that forms part of the Law Society's investigation of a complaint is not admissible in any proceeding except with the written consent of the Executive Director. I have enclosed the full text of section 87.

Our process and procedure for investigating a complaint of professional misconduct against a lawyer is entirely separate from the function of any other decision making body to determine the merits of a dispute in which a lawyer and/or a complainant may be involved.

For these reasons and to ensure the free flow of information in the course of its investigation, the Law Society does not consent to any documents, created as part of the investigation and adjudication of your complaint, being publicized or admitted in any proceeding.

However, section 87 does not restrict a complainant from producing in a proceeding his or her own material submitted in a complaint. Therefore, you may publicize and use in a proceeding the materials you submitted to the Law Society; what you are restricted from publicizing and producing elsewhere is the Law Society's responses to you.

CERTAIN MATTERS PRIVILEGED

87 (1) In this section:

"**proceeding**" does not include a proceeding under Part 2, 3, 4 or 5;

"**report**" includes any document, minute, note, correspondence or memorandum created or received by a person, committee, panel, review board or agent of the society in the course of an investigation, audit, inquiry or hearing, but does not include an original document that belongs to a complainant or respondent or to a person other than an employee or agent of the society.

(2) If a person has made a complaint to the society respecting a lawyer, neither the society nor the complainant can be required to disclose or produce the complaint and the complaint is not admissible in any proceeding, except with the written consent of the complainant.

(3) If a lawyer responds to the society in respect of a complaint or investigation, neither the lawyer nor the society can be required to disclose or produce the response or a copy or summary of it and the response or a copy or summary of it is not admissible in any proceeding, except with the written consent of the lawyer, even though the executive director may have delivered a copy or a summary of the response to the complainant.

(4) A report made under the authority of this Act or a record concerning an investigation, an audit, an inquiry, a hearing or a review must not be required to be produced and is not admissible in any proceeding except with the written consent of the executive director.

(5) Except with the consent of the executive director, the society, an employee or agent or former employee or agent of the society or a member or former member of a committee, panel or review board established or authorized under this Act

(a) must not be compelled to disclose information that the person has acquired during the course of an investigation, an audit, an inquiry, a hearing or a review or in the exercise of other powers or the performance of other duties under this Act, and

(b) is not competent to testify in a proceeding if testifying in that proceeding would result in the disclosure of information referred to in paragraph (a).

I am providing Mr. Demuth with a copy of this letter to ensure that each of you is aware of the position of the Law Society on this matter.

Yours truly,



Tara McPhail
Manager, Intake and Early Resolution

Reply to: Direct line: (604) 605-5367
Fax: (604) 605-5399
Email: TMcPhail@lsbc.org

TM/le

Encl.

c. Oliver Demuth

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

October 20, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Timothy E. McGee
Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mr. McGee,

Re. Lynne Knight's conduct

I have **not received** any response to my letter, dated, August 15, 2017, regarding the **corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia**.

Now, I have another issue regarding the professional conduct of **Oliver Demuth**.

Oliver Demuth is a lawyer employed by BCGEU. He was in charge of resolving my labour conflict with Wayne Hand at BCIT. Nevertheless, he **refused** to *communicate* with **Wayne Hand** to confirm the FACTS I stated in my grievance and dismissed my grievance based on Wayne Hand's allegations and assuming "*arbitration process would not succeed*". Obviously, **it is IMPOSSIBLE to resolve a labour conflict based on single sided FACTS** and it is **improper** to dismiss it based on *unsubstantiated assumptions*. More information is available at www.ilaw.site BCIT-BCGEU.

I filed a complaint about his conduct, nevertheless, Lynne Knight refused to investigate it even though Mr. Demuth's conduct was in conflict the the following rules of Legal Ethics:

2.1 A lawyer is a minister of justice, an officer of the courts, a **client's advocate** and a member of an ancient, honourable and learned profession.

2.1-3 A lawyer should obtain **sufficient knowledge of the relevant facts** and give adequate consideration to the **applicable law** before advising a client, ...

Certainly, Mr. Demuth's failure to communicate with Wayne Hand to obtain the **relevant** FACTS is **NOT consistent** with **2.1-3**. The dismissal of my grievance based the FACTS stated by Wayne Hand is conclusive that **he was NOT acting as my advocate**; that is *obviously* in conflict with **2.1**.

This is a very **serious** issue for the **credibility of the Law Society** and the **Honour of Legal Profession**. Therefore, please, **advise** Lynn Knight to discharge her DUTY to investigate Mr. Demuth's conduct in compliance with the rules of Professional Conduct. Bear in mind that, if not attended, I have a legal **obligation to publicize** this issue for the **protection** of the PUBLIC.

It is prudent to correct WRONG at first sight, otherwise it multiplies.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

The Law Society

of British Columbia

Please refer to our File No. 20170737



October 26, 2017

CONFIDENTIAL

Sent via mail

Mr. Ron O. Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby, BC V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Re: Oliver Demuth, Our File No. 20170737

I acknowledge receipt of your email of October 20, 2017 attaching a letter dated August 15, 2017 to Timothy E. McGee.

The information you have provided does not change our assessment of your file, and your file remains closed.

Any further correspondence received regarding this matter will be file without acknowledgement.

Ombudsperson

One of the roles of the BC Ombudsperson is to investigate complaints about regulatory bodies. If you have remaining concerns about the Law Society's processes or investigation of this complaint, visit the Ombudsperson's website at www.bcombudsperson.ca for further information.

Complaint process is confidential

Please respect the confidentiality of our complaint process by not disclosing this complaint to others unless necessary. Also, please note that section 87 of the *Legal Profession Act* provides that this correspondence and other documents relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceedings without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tara McPhail".

Tara McPhail
Manager, Intake and Early Resolution

Reply to: Direct line: (604) 605-5367
Fax: (604) 605-5399
Email: TMcPhail@lsbc.org

TM/le
DM1711601

845 Cambie Street, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6B 4Z9
t 604.669.2533 | f 604.669.5232
Toll-free 1.800.903.5300 | TTY 604.443.5700
lawsociety.bc.ca

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

October 30, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Tara McPhail, Manager
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mrs. McPhail,

Re. Lynne Knight's conduct

Oliver Demuth is a lawyer employed by BCGEU. He was in charge of resolving my labour conflict with Wayne Hand at BCIT. Nevertheless, he **refused** to *communicate* with **Wayne Hand** to confirm the FACTS I stated in my grievance and dismissed my grievance based on Wayne Hand's allegations and assuming "*arbitration process would not succeed*". Obviously, **it is IMPOSSIBLE to resolve a labour conflict based on single sided FACTS** and it is **improper** to dismiss it based on *unsubstantiated assumptions*. More information is available at www.ilaw.site BCIT-BCGEU.

I filed a complaint about his conduct, nevertheless, Lynne Knights refused to investigate it even though Mr. Demuth's conduct was in conflict the the following rules of Legal Ethics:

2.1 A lawyer is a minister of justice, an officer of the courts, a **client's advocate** and a member of an ancient, honourable and learned profession.

2.1-3 A lawyer should obtain **sufficient knowledge of the relevant facts** and give adequate consideration to the **applicable law** before advising a client, ...

Certainly, Mr. Demuth's failure to communicate with Wayne Hand to obtain the **relevant** FACTS is **NOT consistent** with **2.1-3**. The dismissal of my grievance based the FACTS stated by Wayne Hand is conclusive that **he was NOT acting as my advocate**; that is *obviously* in conflict with **2.1**.

This is a very **serious** issue for the **credibility of the Law Society** and the **Honour of Legal Profession**. Therefore, please, **advise** Lynn Knight to discharge her DUTY to investigate Mr. Demuth's conduct in compliance with the rules of Professional Conduct.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

**No lawyer is entitled to mislead a CLIENT to believe that
THE LAW SOCIETY IS A GANG OF CROOKS.**

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

October 30, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Timothy E. McGee
Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mr. McGee,

Re. Lynne Knight's conduct

I have **not received** any response to my letter, dated, August 15, 2017, regarding the **corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia**.

Now, I have another issue regarding the professional conduct of **Oliver Demuth**.

Oliver Demuth is a lawyer employed by BCGEU. He was in charge of resolving my labour conflict with Wayne Hand at BCIT. Nevertheless, he **refused** to *communicate* with **Wayne Hand** to confirm the FACTS I stated in my grievance and dismissed my grievance based on Wayne Hand's allegations and assuming "*arbitration process would not succeed*". Obviously, **it is IMPOSSIBLE to resolve a labour conflict based on single sided FACTS** and it is **improper** to dismiss it based on *unsubstantiated assumptions*. More information is available at www.ilaw.site BCIT-BCGEU.

I filed a complaint about his conduct, nevertheless, Lynne Knight refused to investigate it even though Mr. Demuth's conduct was in conflict the the following rules of Legal Ethics:

2.1 A lawyer is a minister of justice, an officer of the courts, a **client's advocate** and a member of an ancient, honourable and learned profession.

2.1-3 A lawyer should obtain **sufficient knowledge of the relevant facts** and give adequate consideration to the **applicable law** before advising a client, ...

Certainly, Mr. Demuth's failure to communicate with Wayne Hand to obtain the **relevant** FACTS is **NOT consistent** with **2.1-3**. The dismissal of my grievance based the FACTS stated by Wayne Hand is conclusive that **he was NOT acting as my advocate**; that is *obviously* in conflict with **2.1**.

This is a very **serious** issue for the **credibility of the Law Society** and the **Honour of Legal Profession**. Therefore, please, **advise** Lynn Knight to discharge her DUTY to investigate Mr. Demuth's conduct in compliance with the rules of Professional Conduct. Bear in mind that, if not attended, I have a legal **obligation to publicize** this issue for the **protection** of the PUBLIC.

It is prudent to correct WRONG at first sight, otherwise it multiplies.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

No lawyer is entitled to mislead a CLIENT to believe that THE LAW SOCIETY IS A GANG OF CROOKS.

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

November 21, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Timothy E. McGee
Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mr. McGee,

Re. Lynne Knight's and Thomas Yachnin's conduct.

I have **not received** any response to my letters, dated, August 15, October 30, 2017.

Now, I have another issue regarding the professional conduct of **Thomas Yachnin**.

Thomas Yachnin is a lawyer employed by BCGEU. I was sending letters to the President of the Union, Stephanie Smith to **get an authorized and final answer to my grievance** that was dragging on over nine months. *Knowing* that **I was entitled to get an authorized answer** to my grievance, Mr. Yachnin interfered with my communication with the authority and accused me of HARASSMENT and attempted to **intimidate** me by sending a police officer to my home.

For a reasonable person, **CALLING THE POLICE on a person who is requesting a legal document from an authority is a perfect example of a CROOK. It is impossible to associate such a conduct with the Honour of Legal Profession.**

Please, **let me know** if the Law Society permits such persons to practice LAW, in the Province of British Columbia.

More information is available on the websites above, BCIT-BCGEU.

Since **I am legally obliged to PUBLICIZE** this issue for the PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC, your failure to respond may adversely effect the credibility of the Law Society and the Honour of Legal Profession.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Att. Legalityoficbc.pptx

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

October 29, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

E. David Crossin QC, Law Society Bencher
The Landing, 375 Water St #700,
Vancouver, BC V6B 5C6

Dear Mr. Crossin,

Re. Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia

Please **investigate** the documents enclosed and **take necessary actions** for the protection of the Public and the Honour of Legal Profession.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Encl.: The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, Declaration of Indemnity CD (Legal documents).

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca

November 7, 2016

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

David McCartney, Investigator,
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street,
Vancouver, BC V6B 5T3

Dear Mr. McCartney,

Re. Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia

I have received the letter you sent me on behalf of E. David Crossin. You have refused to take any action regarding the corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and you disregarded the following questions:

1. FACT: Mr. Timothy E. McGee, the Executive Director of the Law Society, **confirmed that “the lawyers have no professional obligation** to provide legal service to the Public”. (*For Mr. McGee’s confirmation letter dated January 8, 2013, see CD 2. Lawyer Referral Service folder.*)

QUESTION: Which profession has an obligation to provide legal service to the Public?

2. FACT: Mr. Michael G. Armstrong, a member of the Law Society, filed a court application to dismiss my legal action originating from a **potentially fatal hit and run crime** and Mr. Justice Smith cooperated with him. (*For court application and unsigned order see CD 3. Law Society McGee case, dated June 27, 2013 and September 17, 2013.*)

QUESTION: Is it POSSIBLE TO PREVENT CRIME where the legal actions of the victims of CRIME are aborted to exonerate the CRIMINALS?

THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE CORRUPTION IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA:

1. The JUSTICES presiding in the Supreme Court of British Columbia will continue to **dismiss the legal actions** of the victims of crime, to **protect the criminals. It is impossible to prevent CRIME**, where the victims of crime cannot bring their offenders to JUSTICE.
2. Some LAWYERS will continue to **pervert the facts** and **disregard Substantive Law** applicable to the issues before the Court. Their actions **defeat the cause of JUSTICE**; undermine the **DIGNITY OF THE COURTS** and the **Honour of Legal Profession**.
3. The PUBLIC will continue to suffer from the actions of CRIMINALS, due to the **lack of JUSTICE SERVICE**.

Under the circumstances, it is impossible to bring ICBC TO JUSTICE, therefore:

4. ICBC will continue to **sell insurance service under the threat** of restricting the RIGHT to use one's own vehicle, even though, selling goods or services **under threat** is NOT LAWFUL.
5. ICBC will continue to **insure hit and run criminals** and **criminally negligent drivers**, under cover of "*accident insurance*" and, promote hit and run crime and preventable accidents.
6. ICBC will continue to assume the liability of **49,000 hit and run crimes**, a year. As a result:
7. Hit and run criminals will kill **8** peoples and, injure and maim **2,200** others, every year.
8. The Public will suffer the INDIGNITY of being **forced to buy** insurance service they are NOT **willing** to buy, and pay all the damages caused by **hit and run criminals** and **criminally negligent** drivers.

Considering the **significance** of this issue, I would like to meet with you to discuss the possibilities of joining our efforts to PROTECT THE DIGNITY OF THE COURTS and THE HONOUR OF LEGAL PROFESSION. Please, let me know.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Encl.: The Report of Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, Declaration of Indemnity CD (Legal documents).



Please refer to our File No. IN20160283

November 3, 2016

CONFIDENTIAL

Sent via mail

Mr. Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby, BC V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Re: Your Correspondence to the Law Society of B.C., Our File No. IN20160283

We received your correspondence addressed to Mr. Crossin, QC, on November 2, 2016.

You say that you are a victim of a “potentially fatal hit and run crime” and you refer to “corruption of the courts”. The Law Society’s complaint process is not the proper forum for raising your concerns about the courts, the justice system or the conduct of a judge. Our role is to investigate the professional conduct of lawyers.

You also refer to Michael Armstrong’s conduct in asking you to sign a draft order of Mr. Justice Smith’s decision from 2013. You allege that Mr. Armstrong attempted to “fool” you into believing that signing the draft order did not mean you consented to it. An order is a document that sets out the court’s decision and it is signed by the parties unless the judge has dispensed with the need for a party’s signature. A party’s signature on a draft order does not mean that the party is consenting to the terms of the order. Rather, when a party signs a draft order, the party is only agreeing that the draft order accurately reflects the court’s decision. Accordingly, there are no conduct concerns arising from Mr. Armstrong’s request for you to sign the draft order. You also complain that Mr. Armstrong attempted to “exact court cost” from you when the draft order had not been signed by you. It is for the courts and not the Law Society to decide whether or not the costs ordered by the court are enforceable. If you have remaining questions about the draft order or the costs awarded by the court, you may want to seek legal advice from another lawyer. The Law Society cannot provide any legal advice to you.

For these reasons, our file is now closed.


We will not be responding further to any correspondence from you related to these matters unless we determine that a response is required.

Complaint process is confidential

Please respect the confidentiality of our complaint process by not disclosing this complaint to others unless necessary. Also, please note that section 87 of the *Legal Profession Act* provides

that this correspondence and other documents relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceedings without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director.

Yours truly,



David McCartney
Investigator

Reply to: Direct line: 604.605-5361
Fax: 604.605-5399
E-mail: dmccartney@lsbc.org

DM/le

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

August 15, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Timothy E. McGee
Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mr. McGee,

Re. Corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS:

1. ICBC **insures** hit and run **criminals** and **criminally negligent** drivers who kill 240 people randomly and injure 70,000, every year in the province of British Columbia.
2. Since ICBC undertakes the LIABILITY of the fatal-CRASHES caused by **hit and run criminals** and **criminally negligent** drivers, ICBC is also **liable for the fatalities** in those crashes. Therefore, ICBC must be brought to JUSTICE for the protection of the PUBLIC.
3. As victim of potentially fatal hit and run crime, it is my DUTY to bring ICBC to JUSTICE. Nevertheless, I was not able to file a criminal action against ICBC, due to the **lack of legal service**.
4. In your letter dated January 8, 2013, you confirmed that “**the lawyers have no professional obligation to provide legal service to the Public**” and refused to investigate the issue.
5. I filed a legal action against you; because, you **failed to ensure legal service is available for the PUBLIC**.
6. Justice **Nathan H. Smith** cooperating with **Michael G. Armstrong**, lawyer, dismissed my case without citing any **authority** and a proper **signature**.
7. Chief Justice **Christopher E. Hinkson** declared me “*vexatious litigant*”, knowing that I am a **victim** of hit and run **crime**. Now, I am not allowed to file any legal actions.
8. As a last resort, I started a **publicity campaign** to display the **corruption** in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, **for the protection of the PUBLIC**.
9. At BCIT, under the supervision of Kathy Kinloch, **Wayne Hand** terminated my employment; because, I informed my co-workers regarding the **corruption in the Courts**.

10. I filed a grievance with BCGEU. Nevertheless, BCGEU representative, **Oliver Demuth**, a member of the Law Society, refused to communicate with Wayne Hand even though he knew that “**It is impossible to resolve a conflict, without communicating with both parties in the conflict, to confirm the facts.**”

11. I am out of work, since February 8, 2017, therefore, I have no income. Also, I am not able to find a lawyer to help me file a legal action against Wayne Hand and Oliver Demuth.

12. For a reasonable person, the conducts of the lawyers involved in this legal chicanery is **not consistent** with the guidelines of **LEGAL ETHICS** published online that states:

2.1 A LAWYER, is a **minister of justice**, an **officer of the Courts** and a member of an ancient and **honourable profession**. It is a lawyer’s DUTY to serve **the cause of JUSTICE**, maintain the authority and **Dignity of the Courts**.

2.1-5c,d A lawyer should make **legal services available to the public** in an efficient and convenient manner; a lawyer should not render any service involving the **corruption of any persons exercising a public or private trust**.

MY REQUEST:

I would like to know how can I **warn** the PUBLIC against the **fradulant** activities in the Administration of Justice without denigrating the **Dignity of the Courts**, the **Honour of Legal Profession**, the **Credibility of the Law Society** and your **Reputation**. Please, let me know.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

For more information regarding the following issues please visit:

www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

- 1. The report of corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia**
- 2. ICBC-CRIME**
- 3. Collusion between BCIT and BCGEU**
- 4. Relevant legal documents**

Cc. The members of the Law Society

Complaint Form

The Law Society
of British Columbia



845 Cambie Street, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6B 4Z9
t 604.669.2533 | toll-free 1.800.903.5300
f 604.605.5399 | TTY 604.443.5700
lawsociety.bc.ca

Before completing the Complaint Form, please make sure you read the attached "Complaint Form Information Sheet". If complaining about more than one lawyer, a separate form is required for each one.

PART A: Information – About you			
Salutation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mr <input type="checkbox"/> Mrs <input type="checkbox"/> Ms <input type="checkbox"/> Miss		
Given Name(s)	Ron O.	Surname	Korkut
Street	5249 Laurel St.		
City	Burnaby	Province/State	B
		Postal/ZIP code	V5G 1N1
Telephone (home)	778 378 9009	(work)	
Email	ron@ethiesfirst.ca	Are you a lawyer?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
PART B: Information – Regarding the lawyer you are complaining about			
Name of Lawyer			
What is your relationship to the lawyer you are complaining about?			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Client <input type="checkbox"/> Employed by lawyer			
<input type="checkbox"/> Opposing Party (whether you have a lawyer or not) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Opposing Lawyer			
If your complaint is about a lawyer acting for someone else, please answer the following			
1. Who did or does the lawyer act for? BCGEU			
2. What is your relationship in the matter? union representative			
3. Name of your lawyer Oliver Demuth			
We may, at our discretion, contact anyone who may have relevant information including any lawyer involved in this matter.			
PART C: Your complaint			
What does your complaint primarily concern?			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breach of undertaking <input type="checkbox"/> Failure to follow instructions			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conflict of interest <input type="checkbox"/> Failure to release file or records or send bill			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delay or inactivity <input type="checkbox"/> Rudeness and or Threatening			
<input type="checkbox"/> Excessive fees <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawal			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Failure to communicate <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)			

Details of your complaint

(Be factual. Give a brief description of what has taken place and on what dates. If you like, use point form. **Also provide copies of all relevant documents**).

Oliver Demuth was in charge of resolving the labour conflict between me and Wayne Hand, BCIT. Nevertheless, he refused to communicate with Wayne Hand who terminated my employment, just because of my communication with my co-workers. My supervisor is Ted Simmons not Wayne Hand. Obviously, if a union representative refuses to communicate with both parties involved in the conflict, it is IMPOSSIBLE to resolve the conflict. For more information see:
BCIT-BCGEU at the following websites:
www.ilaw.site
www.ethicsfirst.ca
www.justsociety.info

More space provided on page 4

Explain what attempts you have made, if any, to resolve the problem.

I appealed his decision that he dismissed my grievance. Nevertheless, appeal board did not hear my argument and ignored my evidence.

What would you like to see happen as a result of your complaint?

Oliver Demuth must do his job as required by the LAW. He must talk to Wayne Hand and find out, under what authority he restricted my communication with my co-workers. If Wayne Hand has no AUTHORITY, then he must reinstate my employment.

PART D: Acknowledgment and signature

I have read and I understand the following:

- The Law Society will share some or all of the information and copies of documents that it receives from me and any other person with the lawyer complained about;
- The Law Society may at its discretion talk to any third parties that have relevant information to my complaint;
- Our records are subject to the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* and as a result, information gathered by the Law Society may be disclosed, on request, to other persons whose interests are affected; and
- Under Section 87 of the Legal Profession Act, this form and any other document relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceedings without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director.

August 16, 2017

Date



Signature of applicant

Note: If you are filing this complaint for another person who was the lawyer's client or who was the party directly affected by the lawyer's conduct we may need a signed authorization from this other person in order to proceed with the complaint. If you hold a power of attorney for the person, you can include a copy of the power of attorney with the Complaint Form.

The information in this form is collected under authority of Rule 3-2 of the Law Society Rules. The information provided will be used to process your complaint. If you have any questions about the collection and use of this information, contact the Intake Officer, Law Society of British Columbia, 8th Floor, 845 Cambie Street, Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9, tel. 604.669.2533.

Ron Korkut <ronkor51@gmail.com>

1:35 PM (31
minutes
ago)

to wking, margaret.merei., Rishi

Aug. 28, 2017

Dear Minister of Justice

All the members of the Law Society are a "minister of justice", an officer of the Courts and a member of an ancient and honourable profession. Their DUTY is to serve the cause of JUSTICE, maintain the authority and Dignity of the Courts, as per Canons of Legal Ethics. Since your DUTY is to protect the HONOUR OF LEGAL PROFESSION, I trust that you will not hesitate to forward the attached letter to Timothy E. McGee and ensure that he responds to it. Thanks, for discharging your DUTY, as a responsible member of the PUBLIC and the LAW SOCIETY.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut

Ethics First

margaret.mereigh@gov.bc.ca, jennifer.chow@justice.gc.ca, karmstrong@stewartandco.com, chunter@litigationchambers.com, michelle.booker@gov.bc.ca, zahra@jimalawcorp.com, preston@overholtlawyers.com, david.clancy@icbc.com, mmaddigan@lsbc.org, gsandhu@lindsayllp.ca, adam@munnings.ca, dullrich@fasken.com, louis.winn@gov.bc.ca, gill@rishigill.com, jlamb@guildyule.com, mshirreff@smrlaw.ca, candice.alderson@ledcor.com, emollema@centralawyers.ca, kimkarras@bkslaw.com, mvirgin@stevensvirgin.com, csalomon@mcbop.com, bveenstra@jml.ca, mike.brundrett@gov.bc.ca, michael@mottwelsh.ca, snelligan@lawsonlundell.com, kblomfield@ratcliff.com, scoulson@dsavocats.ca, blaroselaw@telus.net, wdh@cwilson.com, jforante@cfmlawyers.ca, rbreder@brederlaw.com, psenkpiel@nst.bc.ca, kmcdermott@synergylaw.ca, acrane@intermarklaw.com, wking@capstonemining.com, elizabeth.moxham@ubc.ca, kate.bakepaterson@dlapiper.com, jk@kidstonlaw.com, tom.moran@nortonrosefulbright.com, mwales@glazierpolley.com, dclaassen@jml.ca, cgchurchill@shaw.ca,

kelly@kingbradshaw.com, nina.purewal@gov.bc.ca, tam@mtplaw.com, info@kmlaw.net, jaqua@westminlaw.com, cwardell@mathewsdinsdale.com, msawicka@mccarthy.ca, cgleeson@bakernewby.com, ddundee@kamloopslaw.com, donk@rellapaolini.com, amacphail1@gmail.com, jwhittow@telus.net, rcdibella@jawlbundon.com, erin@brooklawnanaimo.com, msinclair@doakshirreff.com, megan@hamiltonfabbro.com, jamie@jamiewood.ca, afaux@victoriafamilylaw.ca, dj@boydjahani.com, csd@narwallit.com, mc_law@telus.net, dreid@harpergrey.com, sbeach@sms.bc.ca, sgutierrez@fasken.com, contact@poulsenlaw.com, joleary@ahbl.ca, cscott@dwavesys.com, laura.cundari@blakes.com, cjanusz@blackgropper.com, laurel.courtenay@worksafebc.com, mhargraves@srns.bc.ca, phuyinh@surrey.ca, jshelford@ratoliff.com, mkaneen@lawsonlundell.com, rbenham-parker@wt.ca, tina.parbhakar@gov.bc.ca, mario.rubio@nortonrosefulbridht.com, mcarroll@lawsonlundell.com, chilwin@ascendionlaw.com, ad.lecovin@uniserve.com, abharmal@clasbc.net, litigation@westcoastleaf.org, mostrow@farris.com,

sasa.pudar@nicmillan.ca, marie-claire.dy@dentons.com, robertculos@culoslaw.com, mrosser@farris.com, rjohal@cwileon.com, ksainty@saintylaw.ca, sdewit@bhmlawyers.ca, erin@perryco.ca, jmfrechette@wurphyandcompany.com, areimer@wt.ca, andrewc@kimmitt.ca, reza@jawlboundon.com, vaughan@duncanfaber.com, mja@cwilson.com, mcampbell@lawsonlundell.com, rolf.warburton@gov.bc.ca, ritchie.po@worksafebc.com, jhogg@morellichertkow.com, fkelly@clasbc.net, aam@cwilson.com, info@kristinrongve.ca, mathew.good@blakes.com, rfriesen@cle.bc.ca, saridak@sklawoffices.com, jan@fishmanlawyers.ca, pearson@mtplaw.com, bmccutcheon@cookroberts.bc.ca, sklinger@sklaw.ca, drew@woodwardandcompany.com, gtp@hdas.com, janderson@fmep.ag.gov.bc.ca, tina@tinadionlaw.com, denese@averylawoffice.ca, aoxtoby@entrustlaw.ca, bill@bwilliamsundhu.com, oliver.fleck@gov.bc.ca, heidiz@heartlandlaw.ca, jenlaw@telus.net, jlalonde@mzalaw.ca, gwcurrie@island.net, nesmith@rlr-law.com, bhutcheson@swiftdatoo.com, alison.taylor@taylorgranitto.com, acmelabs@citytel.net



Please refer to Our File No. 20170737

September 12, 2017

CONFIDENTIAL

Sent via email

Mr. Ron O. Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby, B.C. V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Re: Oliver Demuth, Our File No. 20170737

I write further to my letter dated August 16, 2017.

I trust that you will have by now had an opportunity to review the brochure which was enclosed with my August 16, 2017, letter and to note that the function of the Law Society's complaints process is to enquire into the professional conduct of B.C. lawyers and to take disciplinary action against lawyers where we find evidence of professional misconduct.

We cannot, under any circumstances, set aside either the dismissal of your grievance with BCIT or the decision on your appeal to the Labour Relations Board. These are matters about which you will have to obtain legal advice from a labour lawyer, if you have not already done so.

With respect to your complaint about Mr. Demuth, I note that Mr. Demuth is legal counsel for the B.C. Government & Service Employees' Union, and as such, he takes his instructions from the union Executive, not the individual union members or the Law Society. You will therefore have to speak with a lawyer as to what further legal remedies you may have available to you through the courts in the matter of the termination of your employment with BCIT.

Based on the foregoing, we are now closing our file pursuant to Rules 3-5 (3) (a) and (c), which state:

3-5 (3) The Executive Director may decline to investigate a complaint if the Executive Director is satisfied that the complaint:

- (a) is outside the jurisdiction of the Society,
- (c) does not allege facts that, if proven, would constitute a discipline violation.

We are, however, providing Mr. Demuth with a copy of your complaint and a copy of this letter for his information.

Complaint process is confidential

Please respect the confidentiality of our complaint process by not disclosing this complaint to others unless necessary. Also, please note that section 87 of the *Legal Profession Act* provides that this correspondence and other documents relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceedings without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director.

Yours truly,



Lynne Knights
Intake Officer, Professional Conduct

Reply to: Direct line: (604) 443-5722
Fax: (604) 605-5399

LK/td
c. Oliver Demuth

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

September 15, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Lynne Knights
Intake Officer, Professional Conduct
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mrs. Knights,

Re.: Oliver Demuth's professional conduct

In your letter dated September 12, 2017, you closed my file of complaint against **Oliver Demuth**, **considering the FACTS** stated in the attached document. You stated that:

“This correspondence and other documents relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceeding without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director”.

From the point of Law, your statement is *tantamount to* declaring that your letter is **NOT** a **reliable** document. Therefore, please, **sign** the attached document to **clarify the FACTS** pertaining to my complaint and return it to me.

If you have any **reasonable DOUBTS** about the stated facts in the attached document, and willing to confirm them, please arrange a meeting so that we can discuss those issues. Nevertheless, if you fail to respond, I **assure** you that your letter dated September 12, 2017, would be publicized, for the **protection of the Public**. Thanks for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Encl. The statement of the facts

Cc. Stephanie Smith, President BCGEU, **Ted Simmons**, Chief Instructor, BCIT

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

LABOUR CONFLICT BETWEEN RON KORKUT and WAYNE HAND

THE STATEMENT OF THE FACTS:

1. **Ron Korkut** is an electrical instructor at BCIT. He worked under the supervision of **Ted Simmons** for ten years with pristine record of employment. He **attempted to inform** his co-workers regarding the perils of the corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.
2. **Wayne Hand**, Dean of School of Construction at BCIT **restricted** Ron Korkut’s RIGHT to free speech and his DUTY to inform his co-workers.
3. Ron Korkut’s supervisor, Ted Simmons was **not involved** with this issue; because, Wayne Hand’s conduct was **not consistent with** the Rules of Administrative Law.
4. Wayne Hand **FORCED** Ron Korkut to *attend a meeting* to negotiate his RIGHT to free speech and his DUTY to inform his co-workers, by **suspending** his work twice.
5. Ron Korkut **informed** Wayne Hand that his RIGHTS and DUTIES were not negotiable; therefore, he **declined** to attend the meeting.
6. Wayne Hand **interpreted** Ron Korkut’s declining to attend to *the unreasonable meeting* as “*a challenge to his power of authority*” and **terminated his employment** on the grounds of “**insubordination**”, on February 8, 2017.
7. Ron Korkut filed a grievance with BCGEU on the grounds of wrongful-dismissal. Union Lawyer, Oliver Demuth was in charge of resolving the labour conflict between Ron Korkut and Wayne Hand.
8. Oliver Demuth dragged the issue on, for months, **refused to communicate** with Wayne Hand by **perverting** the issue into a conflict between Ron Korkut and an *anonymous* “**employer**”. Finally, **dismissed** Ron Korkut’s grievance **assuming** arbitration process would *not succeed*.
9. Ron Korkut filed a complaint with the Law Society against Oliver Demuth on the grounds of professional misconduct. Oliver Demut’s conduct was a *blatant* **professional misconduct**; because, it is **impossible to resolve a labour conflict** where the union lawyers refuse to communicate with **both persons** involved in the conflict to find out and confirm the FACTS.
10. **Lynne Knights, Intake Officer, interpreted Ron Korkut’s complaint as a request for “setting aside the dismissal of his grievance” and declined to INVESTIGATE under what authority, Oliver Demuth refused to communicate with Wayne Hand and dismissed Ron Korkut’s grievance.**

Lynne Knights
 Intake Officer, Professional Conduct
 The Law Society of British Columbia

Date:

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

October 13, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Lynne Knights
Intake Officer, Professional Conduct
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mrs. Knights,

Re.: Your letter dated October 13, 2017

You dismissed my complaint regarding **Oliver Demuth**'s professional conduct without any tangible reason. Nevertheless, you **refused** to *admit* and *confirm* the FACTS I stated. It is **not proper** to dismiss a complaint by denying the FACTS *substantiated* by the complainant. Your **refusal to sign your decision** is *conclusive* to the fact that **you were aware of your WRONG**; because, an honourable person **never hesitates to sign** her decision made in good faith.

The publication of this issue is **vitaly NECESSARY**; because of the extend of the HARM inflicted on the PUBLIC by this organized CRIME. My failure to inform the Public may have criminal consequences, because:

1. ICBC sells insurance under the threat of seizing drivers' licence or vehicle to pay the damage of \$4 billion dollars, caused by **criminally negligent drivers**, and **hit and run criminals**. As you know, making payments on behalf of *criminally negligent drivers* is a blatant **CRASH PROMOTION**. As result of ICBC **crash promotion**, one out of five vehicles crashes every year.
2. *Criminally negligent drivers, hit and run criminals* **kill 240** and **injure** thousands of people, in the Province of British Columbia, every year.

Therefore, I **urge** you to **review** your decision regarding Oliver Demuth's conduct, at least, for the sake of your self-respect and the Honour of Legal profession, even if you are not concerned about the safety the Public.

If I do not hear from you within two weeks, I will be obliged to raise this issue to the attention of Timothy McGee. You should bear in mind that:

The LAW IS NOT A PLAY-DOUGH for the lawyers and the PUBLIC is not a flock of FOOLS.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First



Please refer to our File No. 20170737

October 18, 2017

CONFIDENTIAL

Sent via mail

Mr. Ron O. Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby, BC V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Re: Oliver Demuth, Our File No. 20170737

In my capacity as Manager of Intake & Early Resolution, your letter dated October 13, 2017 to Lynne Knights has been brought to my attention.

You advise that you wish to publicize your complaint against Oliver Demuth. You do not explain where you wish to publicize your complaint, and whether you wish to include the Law Society's responses to you in respect of same.

For clarity, section 87(4) of the *Legal Profession Act* provides that any report or correspondence that forms part of the Law Society's investigation of a complaint is not admissible in any proceeding except with the written consent of the Executive Director. I have enclosed the full text of section 87.

Our process and procedure for investigating a complaint of professional misconduct against a lawyer is entirely separate from the function of any other decision making body to determine the merits of a dispute in which a lawyer and/or a complainant may be involved.

For these reasons and to ensure the free flow of information in the course of its investigation, the Law Society does not consent to any documents, created as part of the investigation and adjudication of your complaint, being publicized or admitted in any proceeding.

However, section 87 does not restrict a complainant from producing in a proceeding his or her own material submitted in a complaint. Therefore, you may publicize and use in a proceeding the materials you submitted to the Law Society; what you are restricted from publicizing and producing elsewhere is the Law Society's responses to you.

CERTAIN MATTERS PRIVILEGED

87 (1) In this section:

"**proceeding**" does not include a proceeding under Part 2, 3, 4 or 5;

"**report**" includes any document, minute, note, correspondence or memorandum created or received by a person, committee, panel, review board or agent of the society in the course of an investigation, audit, inquiry or hearing, but does not include an original document that belongs to a complainant or respondent or to a person other than an employee or agent of the society.

(2) If a person has made a complaint to the society respecting a lawyer, neither the society nor the complainant can be required to disclose or produce the complaint and the complaint is not admissible in any proceeding, except with the written consent of the complainant.

(3) If a lawyer responds to the society in respect of a complaint or investigation, neither the lawyer nor the society can be required to disclose or produce the response or a copy or summary of it and the response or a copy or summary of it is not admissible in any proceeding, except with the written consent of the lawyer, even though the executive director may have delivered a copy or a summary of the response to the complainant.

(4) A report made under the authority of this Act or a record concerning an investigation, an audit, an inquiry, a hearing or a review must not be required to be produced and is not admissible in any proceeding except with the written consent of the executive director.

(5) Except with the consent of the executive director, the society, an employee or agent or former employee or agent of the society or a member or former member of a committee, panel or review board established or authorized under this Act

(a) must not be compelled to disclose information that the person has acquired during the course of an investigation, an audit, an inquiry, a hearing or a review or in the exercise of other powers or the performance of other duties under this Act, and

(b) is not competent to testify in a proceeding if testifying in that proceeding would result in the disclosure of information referred to in paragraph (a).

I am providing Mr. Demuth with a copy of this letter to ensure that each of you is aware of the position of the Law Society on this matter.

Yours truly,



Tara McPhail
Manager, Intake and Early Resolution

Reply to: Direct line: (604) 605-5367
Fax: (604) 605-5399
Email: TMcPhail@lsbc.org

TM/le

Encl.

c. Oliver Demuth

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

October 20, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Timothy E. McGee
Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mr. McGee,

Re. Lynne Knight's conduct

I have **not received** any response to my letter, dated, August 15, 2017, regarding the **corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia**.

Now, I have another issue regarding the professional conduct of **Oliver Demuth**.

Oliver Demuth is a lawyer employed by BCGEU. He was in charge of resolving my labour conflict with Wayne Hand at BCIT. Nevertheless, he **refused** to *communicate* with **Wayne Hand** to confirm the FACTS I stated in my grievance and dismissed my grievance based on Wayne Hand's allegations and assuming "*arbitration process would not succeed*". Obviously, **it is IMPOSSIBLE to resolve a labour conflict based on single sided FACTS** and it is **improper** to dismiss it based on *unsubstantiated assumptions*. More information is available at www.ilaw.site BCIT-BCGEU.

I filed a complaint about his conduct, nevertheless, Lynne Knight refused to investigate it even though Mr. Demuth's conduct was in conflict the the following rules of Legal Ethics:

2.1 A lawyer is a minister of justice, an officer of the courts, a **client's advocate** and a member of an ancient, honourable and learned profession.

2.1-3 A lawyer should obtain **sufficient knowledge of the relevant facts** and give adequate consideration to the **applicable law** before advising a client, ...

Certainly, Mr. Demuth's failure to communicate with Wayne Hand to obtain the **relevant** FACTS is **NOT consistent** with **2.1-3**. The dismissal of my grievance based the FACTS stated by Wayne Hand is conclusive that **he was NOT acting as my advocate**; that is *obviously* in conflict with **2.1**.

This is a very **serious** issue for the **credibility of the Law Society** and the **Honour of Legal Profession**. Therefore, please, **advise** Lynn Knight to discharge her DUTY to investigate Mr. Demuth's conduct in compliance with the rules of Professional Conduct. Bear in mind that, if not attended, I have a legal **obligation to publicize** this issue for the **protection** of the PUBLIC.

It is prudent to correct WRONG at first sight, otherwise it multiplies.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

The Law Society

of British Columbia

Please refer to our File No. 20170737



October 26, 2017

CONFIDENTIAL

Sent via mail

Mr. Ron O. Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby, BC V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Re: Oliver Demuth, Our File No. 20170737

I acknowledge receipt of your email of October 20, 2017 attaching a letter dated August 15, 2017 to Timothy E. McGee.

The information you have provided does not change our assessment of your file, and your file remains closed.

Any further correspondence received regarding this matter will be file without acknowledgement.

Ombudsperson

One of the roles of the BC Ombudsperson is to investigate complaints about regulatory bodies. If you have remaining concerns about the Law Society's processes or investigation of this complaint, visit the Ombudsperson's website at www.bcombudsperson.ca for further information.

Complaint process is confidential

Please respect the confidentiality of our complaint process by not disclosing this complaint to others unless necessary. Also, please note that section 87 of the *Legal Profession Act* provides that this correspondence and other documents relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceedings without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tara McPhail".

Tara McPhail
Manager, Intake and Early Resolution

Reply to: Direct line: (604) 605-5367
Fax: (604) 605-5399
Email: TMcPhail@lsbc.org

TM/le
DM1711601

845 Cambie Street, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6B 4Z9
t 604.669.2533 | f 604.669.5232
Toll-free 1.800.903.5300 | TTY 604.443.5700
lawsociety.bc.ca

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

October 30, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Tara McPhail, Manager
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mrs. McPhail,

Re. Lynne Knight's conduct

Oliver Demuth is a lawyer employed by BCGEU. He was in charge of resolving my labour conflict with Wayne Hand at BCIT. Nevertheless, he **refused** to *communicate* with **Wayne Hand** to confirm the FACTS I stated in my grievance and dismissed my grievance based on Wayne Hand's allegations and assuming "*arbitration process would not succeed*". Obviously, **it is IMPOSSIBLE to resolve a labour conflict based on single sided FACTS** and it is **improper** to dismiss it based on *unsubstantiated assumptions*. More information is available at www.ilaw.site BCIT-BCGEU.

I filed a complaint about his conduct, nevertheless, Lynne Knights refused to investigate it even though Mr. Demuth's conduct was in conflict the the following rules of Legal Ethics:

2.1 A lawyer is a minister of justice, an officer of the courts, a **client's advocate** and a member of an ancient, honourable and learned profession.

2.1-3 A lawyer should obtain **sufficient knowledge of the relevant facts** and give adequate consideration to the **applicable law** before advising a client, ...

Certainly, Mr. Demuth's failure to communicate with Wayne Hand to obtain the **relevant** FACTS is **NOT consistent** with **2.1-3**. The dismissal of my grievance based the FACTS stated by Wayne Hand is conclusive that **he was NOT acting as my advocate**; that is *obviously* in conflict with **2.1**.

This is a very **serious** issue for the **credibility of the Law Society** and the **Honour of Legal Profession**. Therefore, please, **advise** Lynn Knight to discharge her DUTY to investigate Mr. Demuth's conduct in compliance with the rules of Professional Conduct.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

**No lawyer is entitled to mislead a CLIENT to believe that
THE LAW SOCIETY IS A GANG OF CROOKS.**

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

October 30, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Timothy E. McGee
Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mr. McGee,

Re. Lynne Knight's conduct

I have **not received** any response to my letter, dated, August 15, 2017, regarding the **corruption in the Supreme Court of British Columbia**.

Now, I have another issue regarding the professional conduct of **Oliver Demuth**.

Oliver Demuth is a lawyer employed by BCGEU. He was in charge of resolving my labour conflict with Wayne Hand at BCIT. Nevertheless, he **refused** to *communicate* with **Wayne Hand** to confirm the FACTS I stated in my grievance and dismissed my grievance based on Wayne Hand's allegations and assuming "*arbitration process would not succeed*". Obviously, **it is IMPOSSIBLE to resolve a labour conflict based on single sided FACTS** and it is **improper** to dismiss it based on *unsubstantiated assumptions*. More information is available at www.ilaw.site BCIT-BCGEU.

I filed a complaint about his conduct, nevertheless, Lynne Knight refused to investigate it even though Mr. Demuth's conduct was in conflict the the following rules of Legal Ethics:

2.1 A lawyer is a minister of justice, an officer of the courts, a **client's advocate** and a member of an ancient, honourable and learned profession.

2.1-3 A lawyer should obtain **sufficient knowledge of the relevant facts** and give adequate consideration to the **applicable law** before advising a client, ...

Certainly, Mr. Demuth's failure to communicate with Wayne Hand to obtain the **relevant** FACTS is **NOT consistent** with **2.1-3**. The dismissal of my grievance based the FACTS stated by Wayne Hand is conclusive that **he was NOT acting as my advocate**; that is *obviously* in conflict with **2.1**.

This is a very **serious** issue for the **credibility of the Law Society** and the **Honour of Legal Profession**. Therefore, please, **advise** Lynn Knight to discharge her DUTY to investigate Mr. Demuth's conduct in compliance with the rules of Professional Conduct. Bear in mind that, if not attended, I have a legal **obligation to publicize** this issue for the **protection** of the PUBLIC.

It is prudent to correct WRONG at first sight, otherwise it multiplies.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

No lawyer is entitled to mislead a CLIENT to believe that THE LAW SOCIETY IS A GANG OF CROOKS.

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

November 21, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Timothy E. McGee
Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mr. McGee,

Re. Lynne Knight's and Thomas Yachnin's conduct.

I have **not received** any response to my letters, dated, August 15, October 30, 2017.

Now, I have another issue regarding the professional conduct of **Thomas Yachnin**.

Thomas Yachnin is a lawyer employed by BCGEU. I was sending letters to the President of the Union, Stephanie Smith to **get an authorized and final answer to my grievance** that was dragging on over nine months. *Knowing* that **I was entitled to get an authorized answer** to my grievance, Mr. Yachnin interfered with my communication with the authority and accused me of HARASSMENT and attempted to **intimidate** me by sending a police officer to my home.

For a reasonable person, **CALLING THE POLICE on a person who is requesting a legal document from an authority is a perfect example of a CROOK. It is impossible to associate such a conduct with the Honour of Legal Profession.**

Please, **let me know** if the Law Society permits such persons to practice LAW, in the Province of British Columbia.

More information is available on the websites above, BCIT-BCGEU.

Since **I am legally obliged to PUBLICIZE** this issue for the PROTECTION OF THE PUBLIC, your failure to respond may adversely effect the credibility of the Law Society and the Honour of Legal Profession.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Att. Legalityoficbc.pptx

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

December 20, 2017

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Adam Whitcombe, CEO
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mr. Whitcombe,

Re. Lynne Knight's and Thomas Yachnin's conduct.

I have **not received** any response to my letters to Timothy McGee, dated, August 15, October 30, 2017. Now, it is your duty to respond to those letters.

The following is a question regarding the professional conduct of **Thomas Yachnin**.

Thomas Yachnin is a lawyer employed by BCGEU. I was sending letters to the President of the Union, Stephanie Smith to **get an authorized and final answer to my grievance** that was dragging on over nine months. *Knowing* that **I was entitled to get an authorized answer** to my grievance, Mr. Yachnin interfered with my communication with Stephanie Smith, accused me of HARASSMENT and attempted to **intimidate** me by sending a police officer to my home.

For a reasonable person:

CALLING THE POLICE on a person who is requesting a legal document from an authority is a perfect example of a CROOK. It is impossible to associate such a conduct with the Honour of Legal Profession.

Please, let me know, if the Law Society permits such a person to practice LAW, in the Province of British Columbia. If your answer is "NO", I will file an official complaint with the supporting evidence.

More information is available on the websites above, BCIT-BCGEU, ICBC SCAM.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Att. whistleblowerbc.pptx slideshare.net

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

February 17, 2018

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Donald J. Avison
Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mr. Avison,

Re. Lynne Knight's and Thomas Yachnin's conduct.

Please let me know if the Law Society is prepared to investigate my complaints or not.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Att. ICBC CRIME

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

March 8, 2018

PUBLIC DOCUMENT - Second request

Beverly Gallagher
Staff Lawyer – Professional Conduct
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mrs. Gallagher,

Re. *Complaints against Michael G. Armstrong, John D. Waddell, Anthony Leoni, Oliver Demuth, Jitesh Mistry and Thomas Yachnin*

There is a *serious misunderstanding* about my complaints against the above lawyers. I have sent many copies of the power point presentation, “*Law Society*” to the staff of the Law Society that explained their professional conducts with the necessary evidence. You **closed** my file only referring to Thomas Yachnin’s conduct.

Thomas Yachnin accused me of HARASSMENT and called the police on me, for requesting an authorized decision from Stephanie Smith, on Nov. 20, 2017 (recorded call).

Your decision of dismissing my complaint is a perfect indicative of **your legal ethics** is consistent with “*calling the police on your client for requesting an authorized decision from your supervisor*”. That certainly *undermines* the HONOUR of legal profession and *disqualifies* you from representing the Law Society.

Therefore, please let me know your **supervisor’s name**. You may not care about the HONOUR OF LEGAL PROFESSION, but I do. The Law Society is NOT A GANG OF CROOKS who protect CRIMINALS and the gang members. You should bear in your mind that NOTHING IS CONFIDENTIAL about protecting the PUBLIC from ICBC CRIME.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Ref. ICBC CRIME, LAW SOCIETY, BCIT-BCGEU, www.ilaw.site

CC. The Staff of LSBC

The Law Society

of British Columbia



Please reply to our File No. 20180022

February 20, 2018

CONFIDENTIAL

Sent via mail

Mr. Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby, BC V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Re: Thomas Yachnin, Our File No. 20180022

I have been assigned to review your complaint against Thomas Yachnin. I wish to acknowledge and thank you for your time and effort in bringing your concerns to the attention of the Law Society. We rely on members of the public to alert us of any concerns about lawyers so we can regulate the legal profession in the public interest.

Concerns about whether or not Mr. Yachnin, president of the BC Government & Services Employees' Union, investigated your labour conflict or instructed other counsel to do so, does not raise concerns which would trigger an investigation by the Law Society. These matters are either for the court or the Labour Relations Board, and are not matters within the jurisdiction of the Law Society of BC to investigate. You may wish to seek legal advice on how to proceed.

As a result of the above, our file is being closed pursuant to section 3-5(3)(a) of Law Society Rules 2015 which states:

(3) The Executive Director may decline to investigate a complaint if the Executive Director is satisfied that the complaint

(a) is outside the jurisdiction of the Society.

Please find enclosed an information sheet which provides more information on the types of complaints we are able to investigate.

Ombudsperson

One of the roles of the BC Ombudsperson is to investigate complaints about regulatory bodies. If you have remaining concerns about the Law Society's processes or investigation of this complaint, visit the Ombudsperson's website at www.bcombudsperson.ca for further information.

Complaint process is confidential

Please respect the confidentiality of our complaint process by not disclosing this complaint to others unless necessary. Also, please note that section 87 of the *Legal Profession Act* provides that this correspondence and other documents relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceedings without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director.

Yours truly,



Beverly Gallagher
Staff Lawyer – Professional Conduct

Reply to: Direct Line: 604-443-5719
Fax: 604- 605-5399

BG/rpt

Enclosure

c. Thomas Yachnin



Information

Complaints outside our Legal Mandate

The Law Society regulates lawyers through professional standards including those set out in the *Legal Profession Act*, Law Society Rules 2015 and *Code of Professional Conduct for British Columbia*.

We review, record and respond to every complaint we receive. There are some complaints that we cannot assist you with as your concerns about a lawyer may be outside our legal mandate. We only investigate complaints that are within our jurisdiction and show a lawyer has failed to meet professional standards or, otherwise engaged in misconduct.

Law Society Rule 3-5(3) provides that we may close our file and decline to investigate a complaint if the complaint is outside our jurisdiction or if it does not allege facts that could be considered a discipline violation.

Outside our Jurisdiction

The Law Society does not have legal authority to:

- Provide you with legal advice;
- Appoint a lawyer to assist you with your case;
- Intervene in your case or lawsuit;
- Change a court decision or order;
- Insist a lawyer take your case, remain on your case or withdraw from your case;
- Reduce or reimburse the legal fees a lawyer has charged you;
- Review a complaint about a judge or the legal system generally; or
- Review Crown Counsel's decision to approve or stay charges or proceed to a hearing.

Conduct that is not a Discipline Violation

The Law Society reviews your complaint to decide whether the facts or circumstances in your complaint materials show that a lawyer committed a discipline violation. A discipline violation is any of the following:

- Professional misconduct, which is conduct representing a marked departure from the conduct the Law Society expects of lawyers;
- A breach of a professional obligation contained in the *Legal Profession Act* or the Law Society Rules 2015;
- Information that shows a lawyer lacks the necessary skills and knowledge to practice law competently, either generally or in a particular area; or

- Conduct by a lawyer in his or her private life that is contrary to the best interest of the public or of the legal profession, or that harms the standing of the profession (such as a criminal conviction).

Examples of complaints that are outside our jurisdiction or are not discipline violations include:

Mistakes or Negligence

Lawyers make mistakes like everyone else. Many mistakes do not amount to a discipline violation even if the mistake may have caused you a loss. Through the complaint process, we cannot order a lawyer to pay compensation to anyone for a loss caused by their mistake. Only the courts can order a lawyer to pay compensation.

Breach of Supreme Court Rules

Court proceedings are regulated by the Rules of Court and the general practice of the Courts. When the other side does not follow the rules of court (i.e. disclosure obligations or late filing), your remedy is through the Court. The Law Society cannot take any steps in your case or order a lawyer to take any steps.

Complaints by Opposing Parties

Court proceedings are, by their nature, adversarial. Lawyers often advocate firmly and forcefully on behalf of their clients, making claims you may feel are wrong or unfair. Lawyers are allowed to rely on information provided to them by their client and they do not need to verify every statement made.

Letters indicating legal action may be taken

Lawyers are allowed to state in clear, persuasive terms, the client's position, his or her demands, followed by an outline of legal steps or actions that may be taken if those demands are not met. These types of letters are commonly sent by lawyers and generally they do not amount to threats.

Affidavits

In having someone swear an affidavit, a lawyer is not guaranteeing the truth of its contents; they are confirming the identity of the party making the statements and that the person made the statements under oath in their presence. It is the role of the Court, not the Law Society, to decide whether the information given in the affidavit is true and reliable.

The Law Society

of British Columbia



Please reply to our File No. 20180022

March 7, 2018

CONFIDENTIAL

Sent via mail

Mr. Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby, BC V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Re: Thomas Yachnin, Our File No. 20180022

We received your email of February 23, 2018 regarding your previous complaint about Thomas Yachnin. The material does not raise any new issues or change our previous assessment dated February 20, 2018. The file remains closed. Enclosed is a copy of our February 20, 2018 letter to you regarding this complaint.

In your February 23, 2018 letter, which was attached to your email, you state that you have also filed complaints about other lawyers which have not been addressed by the Law Society:

Michael G. Armstrong – Our complaint file 20010892 was closed in June 20, 2001.
Please see enclosed assessment letter.

Oliver Demuth – Our complaint file 20170737 was closed September 12, 2017. Please see enclosed assessment letter.

Any further correspondence received regarding the above closed files will be reviewed, but we will only respond if a response is necessary.

John D. Waddell, Anthony Leoni, Jitesh Mistry – While you may have mentioned these lawyers in some of your correspondence, we require that you complete a complaint form for each lawyer providing specifics about your concern and any back up documentation.

Ombudsperson

If you have remaining concerns about the Law Society's processes or investigation of this complaint, visit the Ombudsperson's website at www.bcombudsperson.ca for further information. One of the roles of the BC Ombudsperson is to investigate complaints about regulatory bodies including the Law Society.

Complaint process is confidential

Please respect the confidentiality of our complaint process by not disclosing this complaint to others unless necessary. Also, please note that section 87 of the *Legal Profession Act* provides that this correspondence and other documents relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceedings without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director.

Yours truly,



Tara McPhail
Manager, Intake & Early Resolution

Reply to: Direct line: 604-605-5367
Fax: 604-605-5399

TM/rpt

Enclosures

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

March 9, 2018

PUBLIC DOCUMENT - Second request

Beverly Gallagher
Staff Lawyer – Professional Conduct
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mrs. Gallagher,

Re. *Complaints against Michael G. Armstrong, John D. Waddell, Anthony Leoni, Oliver Demuth, Jitesh Mistry and Thomas Yachnin*

There is a *serious misunderstanding* about my complaints against the above lawyers. I have sent many copies of the power point presentation, “*Law Society*” to the staff of the Law Society that explained their professional conducts with the necessary evidence. You **closed** my file only referring to Thomas Yachnin’s conduct.

Thomas Yachnin accused me of HARASSMENT and called the police on me, for requesting an authorized decision from Stephanie Smith, on Nov. 20, 2017 (recorded call).

Your decision of dismissing my complaint is a perfect indicative of **your legal ethics** is consistent with “*calling the police on your client for requesting an authorized decision from your supervisor*”. That certainly *undermines* the HONOUR of legal profession and *disqualifies* you from representing the Law Society.

Therefore, please let me know your **supervisor’s name**. You may not care about the HONOUR OF LEGAL PROFESSION, but I do. The Law Society is NOT A GANG OF CROOKS who protect CRIMINALS and the gang members. You should bear in your mind that NOTHING IS CONFIDENTIAL about protecting the PUBLIC from ICBC CRIME.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Ref. ICBC CRIME, LAW SOCIETY, BCIT-BCGEU, www.ilaw.site

CC. The Staff of LSBC

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

March 8, 2018

PUBLIC DOCUMENT - Second request

Beverly Gallagher
Staff Lawyer – Professional Conduct
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mrs. Gallagher,

Re. *Complaints against Michael G. Armstrong, John D. Waddell, Anthony Leoni, Oliver Demuth, Jitesh Mistry and Thomas Yachnin*

There is a *serious misunderstanding* about my complaints against the above lawyers. I have sent many copies of the power point presentation, “*Law Society*” to the staff of the Law Society that explained their professional conducts with the necessary evidence. You **closed** my file only referring to Thomas Yachnin’s conduct.

Thomas Yachnin accused me of HARASSMENT and called the police on me, for requesting an authorized decision from Stephanie Smith, on Nov. 20, 2017 (recorded call).

Your decision of dismissing my complaint is a perfect indicative of **your legal ethics** is consistent with “*calling the police on your client for requesting an authorized decision from your supervisor*”. That certainly *undermines* the HONOUR of legal profession and *disqualifies* you from representing the Law Society.

Therefore, please let me know your **supervisor’s name**. You may not care about the HONOUR OF LEGAL PROFESSION, but I do. The Law Society is NOT A GANG OF CROOKS who protect CRIMINALS and the gang members. You should bear in your mind that NOTHING IS CONFIDENTIAL about protecting the PUBLIC from ICBC CRIME.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Ref. ICBC CRIME, LAW SOCIETY, BCIT-BCGEU, www.ilaw.site

CC. The Staff of LSBC

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

March 10, 2018

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Tara McPhail, Manager
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mrs. McPhail,

Re. My complaint about Michael G. Armstrong, John D. Waddell, Anthony Leoni, Oliver Demuth Jitesh Mistry and Thomas Yachnin's conduct

In your letter dated March 7, 2018, you asked me to complete a *complaint form* for each lawyer. *Nevertheless*, it is **impossible** for me to TRUST YOU, after dismissing my complaints about **Michael G. Armstrong** and **Oliver Demuth**. Therefore, I will not *waste* my time on this issue, if you **fail** to answer the following questions regarding the rules of LEGAL ETHICS. *Besides*, I have already **emailed** you all **my complaints** as a power point presentation. You did not pay attention to it.

Please answer the following questions regarding **Michael G. Armstrong, John D. Waddell, and Anthony Leoni's conduct**:

1. As an **Honourable** Lawyer representating the Law Society, would you consider **filing a court application to dismiss the legal action of a victim of a potentially fatal hit and run crime is**,
 - a. a service for the CAUSE OF JUSTICE, *or*
 - b. an attempt to defeat the Cause of Justice?

Regarding **Oliver Demuth, Jitesh Mistry and Thomas Yachnin's conduct**:

2. As an **Honourable** Lawyer representating the Law Society, would you consider **resolving a labour conflict based on single sided FACTS is**,
 - a. consistent with the canon's of legal ethics *or*
 - b. a misconduct?
3. As an **Honourable** Lawyer representating the Law Society, would you consider **a LAWYER who calls the police on his client, just because the client requested an authorized decision is**,
 - a. an HONOURABLE LAWYER *or*
 - b. a CROOK?

If you **answer** my questions and **willing to investigate** my complaints, I will provide you with all the evidence *necessary*, in any form you require. Thanks for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

CC.: Staff

The Law Society of British Columbia is NOT A GANG OF CROOKS!



Please refer to our File No. 20180022

March 14, 2018

CONFIDENTIAL

Sent via mail

Mr. Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby, BC V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Re: Thomas Yachnin, Our File No. 20180022

I acknowledge receipt of your letters dated March 8, 2018 to Ms. Gallagher and March 10, 2018 to Ms. McPhail.

I reiterate what Ms. McPhail advised you in her letter of March 7, 2018. We will not be responding to any further correspondence from you regarding Messrs. Yachnin, Armstrong and Demuth, unless we deem a response is necessary.

We will also not be responding to any further correspondence from you regarding Messrs. Waddell, Leoni and Mistry unless you have provided completed complaint forms for each of these lawyers.

Ombudsperson

One of the roles of the BC Ombudsperson is to investigate complaints about regulatory bodies. If you have remaining concerns about the Law Society's processes or investigation of this complaint, visit the Ombudsperson's website at www.bcombudsperson.ca for further information.

Complaint process is confidential

Please respect the confidentiality of our complaint process by not disclosing this complaint to others unless necessary. Also, please note that section 87 of the *Legal Profession Act* provides that this correspondence and other documents relating to this complaint are not admissible as

evidence in any proceedings without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'KM', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Karen Mok
Manager, Intake and Early Resolution

Reply to: Direct line: (604) 605-5328
Fax: (604) 605-5399
Email: KMok@isbc.org

KM/le

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

March 19, 2018

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Karen Mok, Manager
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mrs. Mok,

Re. Your letter dated March 14, 2018

In your letter, you asked me to complete a *complaint form* for each lawyer I had complained. *Nevertheless*, it is **impossible** for me to TRUST YOU, after dismissing my complaints about **Michael G. Armstrong** and **Oliver Demuth** without any reason and authority. *Therefore*, **forget about my complaints** and *please* answer the following questions regarding the rules of LEGAL ETHICS:

1. As an **Honourable** Lawyer representating the Law Society, would you consider **filing a court application to dismiss the legal action of a victim of a potentially fatal hit and run crime is**,
 - a. a **service** for the CAUSE OF JUSTICE, *or*
 - b. an **attempt to defeat** the Cause of Justice?
2. As an **Honourable** Lawyer representating the Law Society, would you consider **resolving a labour conflict based on single sided FACTS is**,
 - a. **consistent** with the canon's of legal ethics *or*
 - b. a **misconduct**?
3. As an **Honourable** Lawyer representating the Law Society, would you consider **a LAWYER who calls the police on his client, just because the client requested an authorized decision is**,
 - a. an **HONOURABLE LAWYER** *or*
 - b. a **CROOK**?

Obviously, if you **fail** to answer those questions, it is **conclusive** that you are **not qualified or not willing** to investigate my complaints. *In that case*, please provide me with the **name of your supervisor**, as required by the Law of the Land.

Note that **nothing is confidential** where the Honour of Legal Profession, the Dignity of the Courts and the PUBLIC security are **at stake**.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

CC.: Staff

The Law Society of British Columbia



Please refer to our File No. 20180022

March 21, 2018

CONFIDENTIAL

Sent via mail

Mr. Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby, BC V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Re: Thomas Yachnin, Our File No. 20180022

We are in receipt of your two emails dated March 19, 2018. As we have already advised you, we will not be responding to these emails. Your complaint is now closed and there are no issues arising that would warrant any further involvement from the Law Society.

Any repeated communications from you of this kind will result in the Law Society restricting the manner in which we communicate with you. Should you continue to send email correspondence to the Law Society, we will block your email address from our system. If your email is blocked, we will send you notice of this. You will continue to be able to communicate with us by regular mail, however, we will only respond if we determine a response is required.

Complaint process is confidential

Please respect the confidentiality of our complaint process by not disclosing this complaint to others unless necessary. Also, please note that section 87 of the *Legal Profession Act* provides that this correspondence and other documents relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceedings without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Karen Mok", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Karen Mok
Manager, Intake and Early Resolution

Reply to: Direct line: (604) 605-5328
Fax: (604) 605-5399
Email: KMok@lsbc.org

KM/le

DM1869750

845 Cambie Street, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6B 4Z9
t 604.669.2533 | f 604.669.5232
Toll-free 1.800.903.5300 | TTY 604.443.5700
lawsociety.bc.ca

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

March 23, 2018

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Karen Mok, Manager
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

Dear Mrs. Mok,

Re. Your letter dated March 21, 2018

I have already explained the reason why my communication with the Law Society is NOT CONFIDENTIAL; *nevertheless*, you are **reluctant** to understand.

Please, let me know if you will answer my questions regarding LEGAL ETHICS or NOT.

(Repeat)

As an **Honourable** Lawyer representating the Law Society, would you consider:

1. **Filing a court application to dismiss the legal action of a victim of a potentially fatal hit and run crime is,**
 - a. a **service** for the CAUSE OF JUSTICE, *or*
 - b. an **attempt to defeat** the Cause of Justice?
2. **Resolving a labour conflict based on single sided FACTS is,**
 - a. **consistent** with the canon's of legal ethics *or*
 - b. a **misconduct**?
3. **A LAWYER who calls the police on his client, just because the client requested an authorized decision is,**
 - a. an HONOURABLE LAWYER *or*
 - b. a CROOK?

If you **refuse** to ANSWER, *please* provide me with the **name of your supervisor**.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1
778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca
www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

April 10, 2018

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Deborah A. Armour, QC
The Law Society of British Columbia
845 Cambie Street
Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9
DArmour@lsbc.org

Dear Mrs. Armour,

Re. Karen Mok's conduct

I had asked the following questions to Karen Mok, regarding legal ethics, nevertheless she refused to answer. She told me that you are the supervisor. Therefore, I request that you answer my questions so that I can file some complaints against a few lawyers.

If the Law Society declines to answer those questions, it is obvious that my complaints will not be investigated, as I have experienced before.

As an **Honourable** Lawyer representing the Law Society, would you consider:

1. **Filing a court application to dismiss the legal action of a victim of a potentially fatal hit and run crime is,**
 - a. **a service** for the CAUSE OF JUSTICE, *or*
 - b. **an attempt to defeat** the Cause of Justice?
2. **Resolving a labour conflict based on single sided FACTS is,**
 - a. **consistent** with the canon's of legal ethics *or*
 - b. a **misconduct**?
3. **A LAWYER who calls the police on his client, just because the client requested an authorized decision is,**
 - a. an **HONOURABLE LAWYER** *or*
 - b. a **CROOK**?

If you **refuse** to ANSWER, *please* provide me with the **name of your supervisor**.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First



Please refer to our File No. 20180022

April 10, 2018

CONFIDENTIAL

Sent via mail

Mr. Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby, BC V5G 1N1

Dear Mr. Korkut:

Re: Thomas Yachnin, Our File No. 20180022

I write further to my letter of March 21, 2018 and our telephone discussions of April 10, 2018.

I advised you that the Law Society would block your email address from our system if you continued to send us emails containing irrelevant material. After this warning was sent to you, we received another email from you, dated March 23, 2018, which contained irrelevant material.

Accordingly, as of April 10, 2018, your two email addresses have been blocked from our system. We are no longer able to receive any emails from you. You may continue to communicate with us in writing by mail only. We will also not be responding to any telephone communications from you.

Complaint process is confidential

Please respect the confidentiality of our complaint process by not disclosing this complaint to others unless necessary. Also, please note that section 87 of the *Legal Profession Act* provides that this correspondence and other documents relating to this complaint are not admissible as evidence in any proceedings without the consent of the author of the material or the Executive Director.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Karen Mok".

Karen Mok
Manager, Intake and Early Resolution

Reply to: Direct line: (604) 605-5328
Fax: (604) 605-5399
Email: KMok@lsbc.org

KM/le

DM1887681

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca



www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

April 18, 2018

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Donald J. Avison

Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director

The Law Society of British Columbia

845 Cambie Street

Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

DAvison@lsbc.org

Dear Mr. Avison,

Re. Failure to investigate professional misconduct

As a member and a **natural representative** of the Public, I have the **ultimate interest in the protection of the Honour of Legal Profession**. *Therefore*, it is **inappropriate** for me to *stay silent* about the *professional misconduct* of the members of the Law Society of British Columbia. As required by the LAW of the Land, the members of the Law Society must follow the rules of legal ethics; *otherwise*, it is **impossible** to serve JUSTICE. A lawyer who *disregards* the rules of LEGAL ETHICS is a CROOK by definition and a CROOK cannot practice LAW in the Province of British Columbia.

Nevertheless, on September 12, 2018, **Lynne Knights** dismissed my complaint against **Oliver Demuth** *knowing* the following FACTS about his conduct:

Oliver Demuth is a BCGEU lawyer. He was in charge of resolving my labour conflict with **Wayne Hand** at BCIT. He was representing me; *therefore*, I *specifically* asked him to verify the FACTS with Wayne Hand, *nevertheless* he refused my request. He did not **verify** the facts *on my side* and he **dismissed** my grievance based on the *single sided facts*. Since, **refusing** to verify the FACTS on the client's side and making a decision against the client - *in contradiction* - with the following rules of **legal ethics** is a *blatant misconduct*, Oliver Demuth is a perfect *example* of **CROOK**:

2.1 A lawyer is a minister of justice, an officer of the courts, a **client's advocate** and a member of an ancient, honourable and learned profession.

2.1-3(a) A lawyer should obtain **sufficient knowledge of the relevant facts** and give adequate consideration to the **applicable law** before advising a client, ...

2.1-4(c) A lawyer should accede to reasonable requests that do not prejudice the rights of the client or the interests of justice.

Also, I had complaints against **Michael G. Armstrong, John D. Waddell, Anthony Leoni, Jitesh Mistry, and Thomas Yachnin**. Lynne Knights failed to investigate those complaints as well. (ilaw.site LEGAL ETHICS) *Therefore*, I asked the following questions regarding LEGAL ETHICS to **Tara McPhail, Karen Mok and Deborah Armour**; *nevertheless*, they **refused** to answer my questions, ceased to communicate with me and blocked my email:

As an **Honourable** Lawyer representating the Law Society, would you consider:

1. **Filing a court application to dismiss the legal action of a victim of a potentially fatal hit and run crime is,**
 - a. **a service** for the CAUSE OF JUSTICE, *or*
 - b. **an attempt to defeat** the Cause of Justice?
2. **Resolving a labour conflict based on single sided FACTS is,**
 - a. **consistent** with the canon's of legal ethics *or*
 - b. **a misconduct?**
3. **A LAWYER who calls the police on his client, just because the client requested an authorized decision is,**
 - a. an HONOURABLE LAWYER *or*
 - b. a CROOK?

Simply, I would like to know if the following actions are **consistent** with the rules of LEGAL ETHICS or NOT:

1. **Aborting the legal action of a victim of crime.**
2. **Resolving a labour conflict based on single sided facts.**
3. **Calling police on a client who requests an authorized answer.**

Please, answer those questions; *because*, they are **vitaly important** for the protection of the PUBLIC. It is **impossible** to prevent CRIME as long as the lawyers *disregard* the rules of **legal ethics** and take action to **abort** the legal actions of the victims of CRIME.

You have **failed** to respond to my letter dated, February 17, 2018.

If you fail to answer my questions, **I will be obliged to post your name as a candidate for TOP TEN CRIMINALS IN PUBLIC SERVICE,**

pursuant to the Criminal Code of Canada, S.122, breach of trust by public officer.

The Public will make the final decision.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Cc. David Eby, Justice Minister, Catherine Dauvergne, Dean UBC, VPD, RCMP-Burnaby.

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca



www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

May 8, 2018

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – Second Notice

Donald J. Avison

Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director

The Law Society of British Columbia

845 Cambie Street

Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

DAvison@lsbc.org

Dear Mr. Avison,

Re. Failure to investigate professional misconduct

As a member and a **natural representative** of the Public, I have the **ultimate interest in the protection of the Honour of Legal Profession**. *Therefore*, it is **inappropriate** for me to *stay silent* about the *professional misconduct* of the members of the Law Society of British Columbia. As required by the LAW of the Land, the members of the Law Society must follow the rules of legal ethics; *otherwise*, it is **impossible** to serve JUSTICE. A lawyer who *disregards* the rules of LEGAL ETHICS is a CROOK by definition and a CROOK cannot practice LAW in the Province of British Columbia.

Nevertheless, on September 12, 2018, **Lynne Knights** dismissed my complaint against **Oliver Demuth** *knowing* the following FACTS about his conduct:

Oliver Demuth is a BCGEU lawyer. He was in charge of resolving my labour conflict with **Wayne Hand** at BCIT. He was representing me; *therefore*, I *specifically* asked him to verify the FACTS with Wayne Hand, *nevertheless* he refused my request. He did not **verify** the facts *on my side* and he **dismissed** my grievance based on the *single sided facts*. **Refusing** to verify the FACTS on the client's side and making a decision against the client are *contradicting* with the following rules of **legal ethics**:

2.1 A lawyer is a minister of justice, an officer of the courts, a **client's advocate** and a member of an ancient, honourable and learned profession.

2.1-3(a) A lawyer should obtain **sufficient knowledge of the relevant facts** and give adequate consideration to the **applicable law** before advising a client, ...

2.1-4(c) A lawyer should accede to reasonable requests that do not prejudice the rights of the client or the interests of justice.

Therefore, Oliver Demuth is a perfect *example* of **CROOK**.

Also, I had complaints against **Michael G. Armstrong, John D. Waddell, Anthony Leoni, Jitesh Mistry, and Thomas Yachnin**. Lynne Knights **failed** to investigate those complaints as well. (ilaw.site LEGAL ETHICS) *Therefore*, I asked the following questions regarding LEGAL ETHICS to **Tara McPhail, Karen Mok and Deborah Armour**; *nevertheless*, they **refused** to answer my questions, ceased to communicate with me and blocked my email:

As an **Honourable** Lawyer representing the Law Society, would you consider:

1. **Filing a court application to dismiss the legal action of a victim of a potentially fatal hit and run crime is,**
 - a. **a service** for the CAUSE OF JUSTICE, *or*
 - b. **an attempt to defeat** the Cause of Justice?
2. **Resolving a labour conflict based on single sided FACTS is,**
 - a. **consistent** with the canon's of legal ethics *or*
 - b. **a misconduct?**
3. **A LAWYER who calls the police on his client, just because the client requested an authorized decision is,**
 - a. an HONOURABLE LAWYER *or*
 - b. a CROOK?

Simply, I would like to know if the following actions are **consistent** with the rules of LEGAL ETHICS or NOT:

1. **Aborting the legal action of a victim of crime.**
2. **Resolving a labour conflict based on single sided facts.**
3. **Calling police on a client who requests an authorized answer.**

Please, answer those questions; *because*, they are **vitaly important** for the protection of the PUBLIC. It is **impossible** to prevent CRIME as long as the lawyers *disregard* the rules of **legal ethics** and take action to **abort** the legal actions of the victims of CRIME.

You have **failed** to respond to my letter dated, February 17, 2018 and April 18, 2018.

If you fail to answer my questions, **I will be obliged to post your name as a candidate for TOP TEN CRIMINALS IN PUBLIC SERVICE,**

pursuant to the Criminal Code of Canada, S.122, breach of trust by public officer.

The Public will make the final decision.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Cc. Herman Van Ommen, QC, President of the Law Society

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca



www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

May 8, 2018

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Herman H. Van Ommen President
The Law Society of British Columbia
5364 Paton Drive
Delta, BC V4K 2G3
hermanhvanommen@outlook.com

Dear Mr. Ommen,

Re. Failure to investigate professional misconduct

Please, review my attached letter to Donald Avison and remind him that his DUTY is to maintain **the Honour of Legal Profession** and **the credibility of the Law Society**, and let me know his response. Thanks.

The Law Society of British Columbia is NOT A GANG OF CROOKS.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

For your protection, also should read: ICBC CRIME, BCIT-BCGEU, LEGAL ETHICS at www.ilaw.site

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

June 28, 2018

778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca



www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – FINAL Notice

Donald J. Avison

Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director
The Law Society of British Columbia

845 Cambie Street

Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

DAvison@lsbc.org

Dear Mr. Avison,

Re. Failure to respond and investigate professional misconduct

You have **failed** to respond to my letters dated April 18, and May 8, 2018. And you have **not answered** the following questions regarding the professional **misconduct** of the lawyers:

- 1. ABORTING the legal action of a victim of crime.**
- 2. RESOLVING a labour conflict based on single sided facts.**
- 3. CALLING POLICE on a client who requests an authorized answer.**

In the LAW, your **failure to respond** is *tantamount to* **confirming** the above actions are NOT CONSISTENT with the LEGAL ETHICS of a lawyer and you are NOT WILLING TO INVESTIGATE the complaints published on my websites under “Legal Ethics”.

As a member and a **natural representative** of the PUBLIC, it is my DUTY to **remind** you that:

- 1. The Law Society of British Columbia is NOT a GANG OF CROOKS.**
- 2. The Public is NOT a flock of FOOLS.**
- 3. You have no obligation to act like an IDIOT.**

If you fail to respond to this notice, I will be FORCED to list your name on the TOP TEN CRIMINALS IN PUBLIC SERVICE, pursuant to the Criminal Code of Canada, S.122.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Cc. David Eby, Justice Minister,

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca



www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

September 6, 2018

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – PUBLIC WARNING

Donald J. Avison

Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director
The Law Society of British Columbia

845 Cambie Street

Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

DAvison@lsbc.org

Dear Mr. Avison,

Re. Failure to respond and investigate professional misconduct

You have **failed** to respond to my letters dated April 18, May 8, 2018 and June 28, 2018. And you have **not answered** the following questions regarding the professional **misconduct** of the lawyers:

1. **ABORTING the legal action of a victim of crime.**
2. **RESOLVING a labour conflict based on single sided facts.**
3. **CALLING POLICE on a client who requests an authorized answer.**

Under the circumstances, I have no choice other than **informing** the members of the Law Society and the **PUBLIC for protection**.

Please review the attachment, and let me know if you have any **objections** to the stated **FACTS**.

In law, **failure to respond** to a legal document is interpreted as a tacit **consent**. Therefore, I will not accept any responsibility for your loss of reputation in the process of discharging my **DUTY** to protect the **PUBLIC**, as a member and a natural representative.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Attd. Public Warning, Conclusion.

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca



www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

September 24, 2018

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – PUBLIC WARNING 2

Donald J. Avison

Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director

The Law Society of British Columbia

845 Cambie Street

Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

DAvison@lsbc.org

Dear Mr. Avison,

Re. Failure to investigate professional misconduct

You have **failed** to respond to my letters dated April 18, May 8, 2018, June 28, 2018 and September 6, 2018. And you have **not answered** the following questions regarding LEGAL ETHICS, in your letter dated September 20, 2018:

- 1. Are ABORTING the legal action of a victim of crime,**
- 2. RESOLVING a labour conflict based on single sided facts and**
- 3. CALLING POLICE on a client who requests an authorized answer consistent with LEGAL ETHICS?**

Since **it is IMPOSSIBLE to investigate** a professional misconduct case without FIRM RULES OF LEGAL ETHICS, I will consider that **you refused to investigate** my complaints about five lawyers.

Under the circumstances, I have no choice other than **informing** the members of the Law Society and the PUBLIC, **for their protection**.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Ron Korkut
5249 Laurel Street
Burnaby BC V5G 1N1

November 13, 2018

778 378 9009, ron@ethicsfirst.ca



www.ilaw.site; www.ethicsfirst.ca; www.justsociety.info

PUBLIC DOCUMENT – PUBLIC WARNING 3

Donald J. Avison

Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director

The Law Society of British Columbia

845 Cambie Street

Vancouver, BC V6B 4Z9

DAvison@lsbc.org

Dear Mr. Avison,

Re. Failure to investigate professional misconduct

You have **failed** to respond to my letters dated April 18, May 8, 2018, June 28, 2018, September 6, 2018 and September 24, 2018 . And you have **not answered** the following questions regarding LEGAL ETHICS, in your letter dated September 20, 2018:

1. **Are ABORTING the legal action of a victim of crime,**
2. **RESOLVING a labour conflict based on single sided facts and**
3. **CALLING POLICE on a client who requests an authorized answer consistent with LEGAL ETHICS?**

Since **it is IMPOSSIBLE to investigate** a professional misconduct case without FIRM RULES OF LEGAL ETHICS, I will consider that **you refused to investigate** my complaints about the five lawyers identified on my presentation “LEGAL ETHICS”, www.ilaw.site.

Under the circumstances, I have no choice other than **informing** the members of the Law Society and the PUBLIC, **for their protection**.

Please review the attachment, and let me know if you have any **objections** to the FACTS and the rules of the Law.

In law, **failure to respond** to a legal document is interpreted as a “*tacit consent*”. *Therefore*, I will not accept any responsibility for your loss of reputation in the process of discharging my DUTY, as a member and a **natural representative of the Public**.

Sincerely,

Ron Korkut
Ethics First

Attd. A slide of “TOP TEN CRIMINALS IN PUBLIC SERVICE”